

Bans on “Partial-Birth” Abortion

BACKGROUND: Beginning in the 1990s, states began enacting a dizzying array of laws aimed at prohibiting “partial-birth” abortion, defined in a variety of manners, in all but the rarest of circumstances. Almost all of these exceptions allow an otherwise banned procedure only when a woman’s life is in danger; some have an exception to avert major physical impairment while others have a broad health exception to protect the physical and mental health of the pregnant woman. Because of constitutional challenges, most of these laws have never been in effect.

In its April 2007 decision in *Gonzales v. Carhart*, the Supreme Court upheld the federal Partial-Birth Abortion Ban Act of 2003 and, in the process, set a major jurisprudential precedent. The federal law includes no health exception. Moreover, although the law does not include a precise medical definition of what is banned, the Court found the federal law’s definition sufficient to pass constitutional muster. The federal law is currently in effect and the Supreme Court precedent may bring consistency to state laws, which are still important as they allow for state and local law enforcement and, potentially, stiffer penalties.

HIGHLIGHTS:

- 31 states have enacted bans on “partial-birth” abortions.
 - 15 state laws have been specifically blocked by a court and are not in effect.
 - 17 state laws are in effect; 7 of them remain unchallenged but, because of the broad nature of their language, are presumably unenforceable under the Supreme Court’s 2000 decision in *Stenberg v. Carhart*, which struck down a Nebraska ban.
- The definition of what constitutes a “partial-birth” abortion varies from state to state.
 - 7 states laws mirror the definition in federal law, with 6 of these laws in effect.
 - 25 state laws have definitions not patterned after federal law, but only 10 of these laws are in effect.
- All 31 state laws include some sort of exception.
 - 4 states have bans that include a health exception.
 - 2 states include a broad health exception that allows a physician to perform a “partial-birth” abortion if necessary to protect against physical or mental impairment of the pregnant woman.
 - 2 states include a narrow health exception that allows a physician to perform a “partial-birth” abortion to protect only against bodily harm to the pregnant woman.
 - 27 states have bans that allow for an exception only when a woman’s life is in danger, but only 13 of these bans are in effect.



Advancing sexual and reproductive health worldwide through research, policy analysis and public education.

125 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
212.248.1111
www.guttmacher.org
info@guttmacher.org

1301 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036
202.296.4012
www.guttmacher.org
policyworks@guttmacher.org

© 2010, Guttmacher Institute

CONTINUED

BANS ON “PARTIAL-BIRTH” ABORTION

| STATE | STATUS OF LAW | DEFINITION | | EXCEPTIONS | | |
|----------------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------|
| | | Mirrors Federal Definition | State Definition | Broad Health | Narrow Health | Life Only |
| Alabama | ▼ | | ▼ | | | ▼ |
| Alaska | ▼ | | ▼ | | | ▼ |
| Arizona | X | X | | | | X |
| Arkansas | X | X | | | | X |
| Florida | ▼ | | ▼ | | | ▼ |
| Georgia | X* | | X* | X* | | |
| Idaho | ▼ | | ▼ | | | ▼ |
| Illinois | ▼ | | ▼ | | | ▼ |
| Indiana | † | | † | | | † |
| Iowa | ▼ | | ▼ | | | ▼ |
| Kansas | X* | | X* | X* | | |
| Kentucky | ▼ | | ▼ | | | ▼ |
| Louisiana | X | X | | | | X |
| Michigan | ▼ | | ▼ | | | ▼ |
| Mississippi | † | | † | | | † |
| Missouri | § | § | | | | § |
| Montana | †* | | †* | | | †* |
| Nebraska | ▼ | | ▼ | | | ▼ |
| New Jersey | ▼ | | ▼ | | | ▼ |
| New Mexico | X* | | X* | | X* | |
| North Dakota | X | X | | | | X |
| Ohio | X | X | | | X | |
| Oklahoma | † | | † | | | † |
| Rhode Island | ▼ | | ▼ | | | ▼ |
| South Carolina | † | | † | | | † |
| South Dakota | † | | † | | | † |
| Tennessee | † | | † | | | † |
| Utah | X | X | | | | X |
| Virginia | X | X | | | | X |
| West Virginia | ▼ | | ▼ | | | ▼ |
| Wisconsin | ▼ | | ▼ | | | ▼ |
| TOTAL | 17 | 7 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 13 |

▼ Enforcement permanently enjoined by court order; policy not in effect.

§ This law is temporarily enjoined pending a final decision in the courts.

X Policy is currently in effect.

* Law applies post-viability only.

† This policy is presumably unenforceable under the terms set out in *Stenberg v. Carhart*; however, it has not been challenged in court.

FOR MORE INFORMATION:

For information on state legislative and policy activity click on Guttmacher’s [Monthly State Update](#), and for state level information and data on reproductive health issues, click on Guttmacher’s [State Center](#). To see state specific abortion information click on [State Facts About Abortion](#).

The Alan Guttmacher Institute, [High court strikes down ‘partial-birth’ ban, upholds protections for clinic clients](#), *Guttmacher Report on Public Policy*, 2000, 3(4):12.

Boonstra H, [The antiabortion campaign to personify the fetus: looking back to the future](#), *Guttmacher Report on Public Policy*, 1999, 2(6):3–5.

Gold R and Nash E, [Abortion counseling and fundamental principles of informed consent](#), *Guttmacher Policy Review*, 2007, 10(4):6–13.

Cohen SA and Saul R, [The campaign against ‘partial-birth’ abortion: status and fallout](#), *Guttmacher Report on Public Policy*, 1998, 1(6):6–10.

Dailard C, [Courts strike partial birth abortion ban, decisions presage future debates](#), *Guttmacher Report on Public Policy*, 2004, 7(4):1–3 & 14.

The *State Policy in Brief* series is made possible in part by support from The John Merck Fund.