

Sex and STI/HIV Education

BACKGROUND: The advent of the AIDS epidemic in the 1980s spurred states to reevaluate their sex education policies and, in some cases, expand their requirements. Most states require that public schools teach some form of sex or STI/HIV education. Most states, including some that do not mandate the instruction itself, also place requirements on how abstinence or contraception should be handled when included in a school district's curriculum. This guidance is heavily weighted toward stressing abstinence; in contrast, while many states allow or require that contraception be covered, none requires that it be stressed. Further affecting whether students receive instruction on sex or STIs/HIV are parental consent requirements or the more frequent "opt-out" clauses, which allow parents to remove students from instruction the parents find objectionable.

HIGHLIGHTS:

- 21 states and the District of Columbia mandate that public schools teach sex education; many states, including several that do not mandate sex education, place requirements on how abstinence and contraception are treated when taught.
 - 22 states require that abstinence be stressed when taught as part of sex education; 12 states require simply that it be covered during instruction.
 - 15 states and the District of Columbia require that sex education programs cover contraception; no state requires that it be stressed.
- 35 states and the District of Columbia require the provision of STI/HIV education; many place requirements on how abstinence and contraception are treated.
 - 25 states require that abstinence be stressed when taught as part of STD/HIV education; 12 require that it be covered.
 - 17 states require that STI/HIV programs cover contraception; no state requires that it be stressed.
- 39 states and the District of Columbia require school districts to permit parental involvement in sexuality and STI/HIV education.
 - 3 states require parental consent in order for students to participate in sex or STI/HIV education.
 - 37 states and the District of Columbia allow parents to remove their children from instruction.



Advancing sexual and reproductive health worldwide through research, policy analysis and public education.

125 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
212.248.1111
www.guttmacher.org
info@guttmacher.org

1301 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036
202.296.4012
www.guttmacher.org
policyworks@guttmacher.org

© 2009, Guttmacher Institute

CONTINUED

STATE SEX AND STI/HIV EDUCATION POLICY

STATE	SEX EDUCATION			STI/HIV EDUCATION			PARENTAL ROLE	
	Mandated	If Taught, Content Required		Mandated	If Taught, Content Required		Consent Required	Opt-out Permitted
		Abstinence	Contraception		Abstinence	Contraception		
Alabama		Stress	Cover	X	Stress	Cover		X*
Arizona		Stress			Stress		X†	X†
Arkansas		Stress			Stress			
California		Cover	Cover	X	Cover	Cover		X
Colorado		Stress	Cover		Stress			X
Connecticut		Cover		X				X
Delaware	X	Cover	Cover	X	Cover	Cover		
Dist. of Columbia	X		Cover	X				X
Florida	X	Cover		X				X
Georgia	X	Cover		X	Cover			X
Hawaii	X	Stress	Cover	X	Stress	Cover		
Idaho								X
Illinois		Stress‡			Stress‡	Cover‡		X
Indiana		Stress		X	Stress			
Iowa	X			X				X
Kansas	X			X				X
Kentucky	X	Cover		X	Cover			
Louisiana		Stress			Stress			X
Maine	X	Stress	Cover	X	Stress	Cover		X
Maryland	X	Cover	Cover	X	Cover	Cover		X
Massachusetts								X*
Michigan		Stress		X	Stress			X
Minnesota	X			X	Cover			X
Mississippi ^Ω		Stress			Stress			X
Missouri		Stress		X	Stress			X
Montana	X	Cover		X	Cover			X†
Nevada	X			X			X	
New Hampshire				X	Cover			X
New Jersey	X			X				X*
New Mexico	X	Cover	Cover	X	Stress	Cover		X
New York				X	Stress	Cover		X†
North Carolina	X	Stress		X	Stress			
Ohio				X	Stress			X
Oklahoma		Stress		X	Cover	Cover		X
Oregon	X	Stress	Cover	X	Stress	Cover		X
Pennsylvania				X	Stress			X*,†
Rhode Island	X	Stress	Cover	X	Stress	Cover		X
South Carolina	X	Stress	Cover	X	Stress	Cover		X
South Dakota ^Φ								
Tennessee	X	Stress		X	Stress			X
Texas		Stress			Stress			X
Utah [◇]	X	Stress		X	Stress		X	
Vermont	X	Cover	Cover	X	Cover	Cover		X*
Virginia		Cover	Cover		Cover	Cover		X
Washington		Stress	Cover	X	Stress	Cover		X
West Virginia	X	Cover	Cover	X	Cover	Cover		X
Wisconsin		Stress		X	Stress			X
TOTAL	22 +DC			35+DC			3	37+DC

* Parents' removal of student must be based on religious or moral beliefs.

† In AZ, MT, NY and PA, opt-out is only permitted for STI education, including instruction on HIV; in AZ, parental consent is required only for sex education.

‡ IL has a broad set of laws mandating general health education, including abstinence; a more specific second law requires a school district that provides sex education to stress abstinence and to provide statistics on the efficacy of condoms as HIV/STI prevention.

Ω Localities may override state requirements for sex education topics, including abstinence; state prohibits including material that "contradicts the required components."

Φ Abstinence is taught within state-mandated character education.

◇ State prohibits teachers from responding to students' spontaneous questions in ways that conflict with the law's requirements.

CONTINUED

FOR MORE INFORMATION:

For information on state legislative and policy activity click on Guttmacher's [Monthly State Update](#) and for state level information and data on reproductive health issues, click on Guttmacher's [State Center](#).

Boonstra HD, [Advocates Call for a New Approach After the Era of 'Abstinence-Only' Sex Education](#), *Guttmacher Policy Review*, 2009, 12(1):6–11.

Masters NT et al., [The opposite of sex? adolescents' thoughts about abstinence and sex, and their sexual behavior](#), *Perspectives on Sexual and Reproductive Health*, 2008, 40(2):87–93.

Boonstra HD, [Matter of faith: support for comprehensive sex education among faith-based organizations](#), *Guttmacher Policy Review*, 2008, 11(1):17–22.

Constantine NA, Jerman P and Huang AX, [California parents' preferences and beliefs regarding school-based sex education policy](#), *Perspectives on Sexual and Reproductive Health*, 2007, 39(3):167–175.

Kaestle CE and Halpern CT, [What's love got to do with it? sexual behaviors of opposite-sex couples through emerging adulthood](#), *Perspectives on Sexual and Reproductive Health*, 2007, 39(3):134–140.

Boonstra HD, [The case for a new approach to sex education mounts; will policymakers heed the message?](#), *Guttmacher Policy Review*, 2007, 10(2):2–7.

Lindberg LD, Santelli JS and Singh S, [Changes in formal sex education: 1994–2002](#), *Perspectives on Sexual and Reproductive Health*, 2006, 38(4):182–189.

Dailard C, [Legislating against arousal: the growing divide between federal policy and teenage sexual behavior](#), *Guttmacher Policy Review*, 2006, 9(3):12–16.

Yarber WL et al., [Public Opinion About Condoms for HIV and STD Prevention: A Midwestern State Telephone Survey](#), *Perspectives on Sexual and Reproductive Health*, 2005, 37(3):148–154.

Eisenberg ME et al. [Parent's beliefs about condoms and oral contraceptives: are they medically accurate?](#), *Perspectives on Sexual and Reproductive Health*, 2004, 36(2):50–57.

Landry D et al., [Factors associated with the content of sex education in U.S. public secondary schools](#), *Perspectives on Sexual and Reproductive Health*, 2003, 35(6):261–269.

Dailard C, [Understanding 'abstinence': implications for individuals, programs and policies](#), *The Guttmacher Report on Public Policy*, 2003, 6(5):4–6.

The Alan Guttmacher Institute (AGI), [Sex Education: Needs, Programs and Policies](#), New York: AGI, 2003.

Boonstra H, [Legislators craft alternative vision of sex education to counter abstinence-only drive](#), *The Guttmacher Report on Public Policy*, 2002, 5(2):1–3.

Sonfield A and Gold R, [States' implementation of the section 510 abstinence education program, FY 1999](#), *Family Planning Perspectives*, 2001, 33(4):166–171.

Gold R and Nash E, [State-level policies on sexuality, STD education](#), *The Guttmacher Report on Public Policy*, 2001, 4(4):4–7.

Dailard C, [Sex education: politicians, parents, teachers and teens](#), *The Guttmacher Report on Public Policy*, 2001, 4(1):9–12.

Darroch JE, Landry DJ and Singh S, [Changing emphases in sexuality education in U.S. public secondary schools, 1988–1999](#), *Family Planning Perspectives*, 2000, 32(5):204–211 & 265.

Landry DJ, Singh S and Darroch JE, [Sexuality education in fifth and sixth grades in U.S. public schools](#), 1999, *Family Planning Perspectives*, 2000, 32(5):212–219.

Lindberg LD, Ku L and Sonenstein F, [Adolescents' reports of reproductive health education, 1988 and 1995](#), *Family Planning Perspectives*, 2000, 32(5):220–226.

Landry DJ, Kaeser L and Richards CL, [Abstinence promotion and the provision of information in public school district sexuality education policies](#), *Family Planning Perspectives*, 1999, 31(6):280–286.

Donovan P, [School-based sexuality education: the issues and challenges](#), *Family Planning Perspectives*, 1998, 30(4):188–193.

Saul R, [Sexuality education advocates lament loss of Virginia's mandate...or do they?](#) *The Guttmacher Report on Public Policy*, 1998, 1(3):3–4.

The State Policy in Brief series is made possible in part by support from The John Merck Fund and the Brush Foundation.