

Table 4. Odds ratios (and standard errors) from multivariate analyses showing likelihood of family planning knowledge, approval, use and discussions among members of clubs, by sex and whether model adjusts for effects of background characteristics

Measure	Women		Men	
	Unadjusted	Adjusted	Unadjusted	Adjusted
Know 5 modern methods	2.00*** (0.22)	2.30*** (0.36)	1.84*** (0.21)	1.47*** (0.21)
Approve of family planning	1.33*** (0.17)	1.04 (0.18)	2.09 *** (0.32)	1.68*** (0.31)
Ever used a modern method	1.61*** (0.18)	1.30 (0.21)	1.24 (0.14)	0.94 (0.13)
Current use of a modern method	1.41*** (0.19)	1.12 (0.14)	1.27 (0.17)	0.94 (0.15)
Talked about family planning:				
with anyone	1.86*** (0.18)	1.36** (0.16)	1.73*** (0.19)	1.30* (0.16)
with core network only	0.69*** (0.08)	0.76* (0.10)	0.83*** (0.09)	0.89 (0.11)
with core and extended networks	2.06*** (0.18)	1.60*** (0.16)	1.67*** (0.15)	1.34** (0.13)

*Significantly different from nonmembers at $p < .05$. **Significantly different from nonmembers at $p < .01$. ***Significantly different from nonmembers at $p < .001$. *Notes:* Adjusted odds ratios control for education, urban/rural residence, province, marital status, age, parity, socioeconomic status and exposure to radio messages.