

Table 7. Odds ratios (and standard errors) from multivariate analyses showing likelihood of family planning awareness, approval and use, by sex and network within which they had discussed family planning, according to whether model adjusts for background characteristics

Measure and network	Unadjusted	Adjusted
AWARE OF 5 METHODS		
Women		
Core network only	2.67 (0.31)*	2.04 (0.30)*
Core and extended networks	5.32 (0.13)†	3.56 (0.11)†
Men		
Core network only	2.69 (0.34)*	1.74 (0.26)*
Core and extended networks	4.98 (0.13)†	3.15 (0.12)†
APPROVE OF FAMILY PLANNING		
Women		
Core network only	3.57 (0.28)*	2.04 (0.12)*
Core and extended networks	6.90 (0.20)†	3.98 (0.17)†
Men		
Core network only	5.07 (0.26)*	4.48 (0.24)*
Core and extended networks	9.39 (0.23)†	7.02 (0.23)†
EVER USED FAMILY PLANNING		
Women		
Core network only	4.92 (0.29)*	4.12 (0.23)*
Core and extended networks	9.91 (0.33)†	9.04 (0.30)†
Men		
Core network only	3.84 (0.30)*	2.90 (0.24)*
Core and extended networks	6.21 (0.34)†	3.98 (0.31)†
CURRENTLY USE FAMILY PLANNING		
Women		
Core network only	5.29 (0.25)*	5.21 (0.24)*
Core and extended networks	8.78 (0.36)†	8.33 (0.31)†
Men		
Core network only	2.56 (0.27)*	1.84 (0.25)*
Core and extended networks	5.27 (0.20)†	3.20 (0.17)†

*Significantly different from “no discussion” group at $p < .05$. †Significantly different from “core network only” group at $p < .05$. *Note:* Adjusted odds ratios control for education, urban/rural residence, province, marital status, age, parity, socioeconomic status, exposure to radio messages and club membership.