ing previous year in which women aged 15-19 were at risk of pregnancy, by contraceptive method used, National Survey of Family Growth, 1995 (N=651) % of months

Table 2. Percentage distribution of months dur-

Method ANY METHOD

Pill

Iniectable Implant

Condom

Sponge

Rhvthm

Total

NO METHOD

Prescription methods

Sterilization

Diaphragm Emergency contraception

Over-the-counter methods

Spermicides

Other methods Withdrawl/other

drawal/other. None of the respondents used the IUD, female condom or cervical cap. All percentages are weighted.

*Percentage is smaller than .05. Notes: Respondents were at risk of pregnancy (sexually active and not pregnant) during an average of 67% of the prior 12 months. If a woman used more than one method during a month, the highest-ranked method was attributed to her, according to the following order of effectiveness: sterilization:

implant; injectable; pill; emergency contraception; diaphragm; male condom; sponge; spermicides; rhythm/periodic abstinence; with-

at risk

80.2

34.9

27 6 5 1

18

0.3

0.0*

0.1

41.3

41.0

0.2

0.1 4.1

12

29

198

100.0