TABLE 3. Number of parous women aged 35-49, percentage of these women who continued childbearing, and number of women who would have continued childbearing if the minimum parity progression percentages prevailed—all by parity and number of sons (and 95% confidence interval)

Parity/ no. of sons	No.of women	% who continued childbearing	No. of women if mini- mum progression percentage prevailed
Parity 1 0 sons 1 son	33,245	95 (94.4–95.1) 93 (92.6–93.4)	33,245
Parity 2 0 sons 1 son	31,237	85 (84.6–86.2) 73 (72.5–73.9)	30,198

Parity 1 0 sons 1 son	33,245	95 (94.4–95.1) 93 (92.6–93.4)	33,245	
Parity 2 0 sons 1 son 2 sons	31,237	85 (84.6–86.2) 73 (72.5–73.9) 70 (68.9–70.1)	30,198	
Parity 3	23,482	84 (82 3–85 0)	21,642	

		childbearing	percentage prevaile
Parity 1 0 sons 1 son	33,245	95 (94.4–95.1) 93 (92.6–93.4)	33,245
Parity 2 0 sons 1 son 2 sons	31,237	85 (84.6–86.2) 73 (72.5–73.9) 70 (68.9–70.1)	30,198
Parity 3 0 sons 1 son 2 sons 3 sons	23,482	84 (82.3–85.0) 68 (67.2–69.1) 58 (57.4–59.5) 64 (62.4–65.8)	21,642

no. of sons	women	continued childbearing	mum progression percentage prevail
Parity 1 0 sons 1 son	33,245	95 (94.4–95.1) 93 (92.6–93.4)	33,245
Parity 2 0 sons 1 son 2 sons	31,237	85 (84.6–86.2) 73 (72.5–73.9) 70 (68.9–70.1)	30,198
Parity 3 0 sons 1 son 2 sons 3 sons	23,482	84 (82.3–85.0) 68 (67.2–69.1) 58 (57.4–59.5) 64 (62.4–65.8)	21,642
Parity 4 0 sons	15,463	81 (78.8–83.3)	12,553

F

i son		08 (07.2-09.1)		
2 sons		58 (57.4-59.5)		
3 sons		64 (62.4–65.8)		
Parity 4	15,463		12,553	
0 sons		81 (78.8-83.3)		
1 son		67 (65.4-68.3)		
2 sons		56 (55.1-57.7)		
3 sons		57 (55.7-58.9)		
4 sons		66 (62.8–68.7)		
Parity 5	9,378		7,029	
Total	112,805	na	104,667	

Note: na=not applicable.