

**TABLE 5. Odds ratios from models including scales of relationship qualities in logistic regression analyses assessing the likelihood of consistent condom use in teenagers' current or most recent dating relationship**

Characteristic	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
<b>Relationship quality scale‡</b>			
Negative	0.91**	na	0.90**
Positive	na	0.93**	0.92**
<b>Relationship</b>			
Ongoing relationship	1.33	2.31*	1.90†
Duration	0.99*	0.99†	0.99
Age heterogamy	1.17	1.25	1.25
Racial/ethnic heterogamy	1.66	1.34	1.57
School heterogamy	0.75	0.75	0.82
Sexual experience asymmetry	1.05	1.14	1.08
<b>Respondent</b>			
Age	0.98	0.99	0.99
Female	0.49*	0.55†	0.50*
Race/ethnicity			
Hispanic	0.60	0.80	0.65
White (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00
Black	1.42	1.27	1.22
Other	0.41	0.33	0.38
Family structure			
Single parent	0.90	0.69	0.81
Two biological parents (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00
Stepfamily	0.45†	0.48†	0.43†
Other	0.49	0.39†	0.42†
Parental education			
<high school	0.73	0.68	0.73
High school (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00
>high school, <four-year college degree	0.46*	0.48*	0.46*
≥four-year college degree	0.41*	0.39*	0.39*
Parental monitoring	1.00	0.98	0.99
Parental communication about sex	1.03	1.04	1.04
Self-esteem	0.94	0.96	0.95
Grades	1.03	1.08	1.06
Previously sexually inexperienced	1.33	1.27	1.26
Delinquency	0.96*	0.95**	0.96*
<i>Intercept</i>	<i>6.33</i>	<i>3.57</i>	<i>2.81</i>

\* $p < .05$ . \*\* $p < .01$ . † $p < .10$ . ‡All qualities are coded so that higher scores indicate more of that quality, be it positive or negative. Notes: na=not applicable. ref=reference group. Characteristics for which no reference group is shown were measured as continuous variables.