

TABLE 1. Selected characteristics of participants in a study of Latino youths' sexual values and behaviors, by gender, San Francisco, 2003–2006

Characteristic	Males (N=377)	Females (N=462)
Covariates		
Use of English (range, 1.0–5.0)	3.8 (0.9)	3.9 (0.8)
Use of Spanish (range, 1.0–5.0)	2.8 (0.9)	2.9 (1.1)
Age (range, 16.0–22.0)	18.8 (1.5)	18.3 (1.7)
Parental marital status (%)		
Married	64.7	53.8
Not married	35.3	46.2
Parental education (yrs.; range, 0.0–20.0)	10.5 (3.3)	10.3 (3.4)
Partner age gap† (yrs.; range, –5.0 to 16.0)	–0.6 (1.4)	2.0 (2.6)
Length of sexual relationship (mos.; range, 0.03–104.5)	15.4 (15.6)	19.0 (18.5)
Sexual values		
Importance of satisfaction of sexual needs (range, 1.0–4.0)	2.3 (0.6)	1.7 (0.6)
Importance of female virginity (range, 1.0–4.0)	2.2 (0.6)	2.4 (0.7)
Comfort with sexual communication (range, 1.0–4.0)	3.4 (0.5)	3.4 (0.5)
Sexual outcomes		
Age at first intercourse (range, 8.0–21.0)	15.3 (1.8)	15.5 (1.6)
Lifetime no. of partners (%)		
1	14.1	37.5
2–4	38.7	41.8
5–10	35.8	14.9
≥11	11.4	5.8
No. of partners in past year (%)		
1	58.9	67.3
2	18.8	17.5
3	10.6	8.7
≥4	11.7	6.5
Condom use in first month of current relationship (%)		
Never	8.2	13.7
Sometimes	27.1	23.4
Always	64.7	62.8
Missing	0.0	0.1
Condom use in last month of current relationship (%)		
Never	35.5	38.1
Sometimes	22.3	24.0
Always	34.2	25.1
Missing	8.0	12.8

†Calculated by subtracting the participant's age from his or her partner's age. *Note:* Unless otherwise noted, data are means (and standard deviations).

TABLE 2. Zero-order correlations between selected characteristics and sexual outcomes, by gender

Characteristic	Age at first intercourse	Lifetime no. of sexual partners	No. of sexual partners in past year	Condom use in first month of current relationship	Condom use in last month of current relationship
COVARIATES					
Use of English					
Males	-0.06	0.08	0.13*	0.07	0.02
Females	-0.10*	0.04	0.09	0.16***	-0.01
Use of Spanish					
Males	-0.00	-0.03	0.04	-0.10	-0.06
Females	-0.09	-0.13**	-0.14**	-0.10*	0.03
Age					
Males	-0.19***	0.30***	0.08	-0.14**	-0.23***
Females	-0.06	0.25***	0.05	-0.10*	-0.10*
Parental marital status					
Males	-0.14***	0.02	0.00	-0.05	-0.02
Females	-0.12**	0.13**	0.08	-0.06	-0.11*
Parental education					
Males	0.02	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.05
Females	-0.08	0.07	0.09	0.04	-0.02**
Partner age gap					
Males	-0.20***	0.07	0.05	-0.09	0.03
Females	0.03	0.15**	0.09	-0.11*	-0.15**
Length of sexual relationship					
Males	-0.19***	0.18***	-0.02	-0.06	-0.27**
Females	-0.10*	0.01	-0.11*	-0.05	-0.10
SEXUAL VALUES					
Importance of satisfaction of sexual needs					
Males	-0.08	0.15**	0.15**	-0.10*	-0.07
Females	0.05	-0.04	0.04	-0.14**	-0.05
Importance of female virginity					
Males	0.09	-0.13*	-0.10	0.00	-0.10
Females	0.08	-0.26***	-0.26**	-0.13**	0.07
Comfort with sexual communication					
Males	-0.05	0.10*	0.01	-0.04	-0.15**
Females	0.03	0.06	0.01*	0.04	-0.14**

*p<.05. **p<.01. ***p<.001.

TABLE 3. Odds ratios (and 95% confidence intervals) from multiple regression analyses assessing associations between youths' sexual values and behavior, by gender

Value and behavior	Males	Females
Age at first intercourse		
Importance of satisfaction of sexual needs	0.98* (0.96–0.99)	1.0 (1.0–1.0)
Importance of female virginity	1.0 (1.0–1.0)	1.0 (1.0–1.0)
Comfort with sexual communication	1.0 (1.0–1.0)	0.98* (0.96–0.99)
Satisfaction x virginity	1.0 (1.0–1.0)	1.0 (1.0–1.0)
Total R ²	0.11	0.13
Adjusted R ²	0.08	0.11
Lifetime no. of sexual partners		
Importance of satisfaction of sexual needs	1.4*** (1.2–1.5)	1.1 (1.0–1.3)
Importance of female virginity	0.9 (0.8–1.0)	0.8*** (0.7–0.9)
Comfort with sexual communication	1.1 (0.9–1.3)	1.1 (0.9–1.2)
Satisfaction x virginity	0.9 (0.8–1.1)	0.8** (0.6–0.9)
Total R ²	0.17	0.19
Adjusted R ²	0.15	0.18
No. of sexual partners in past year		
Importance of satisfaction of sexual needs	1.1*** (1.1–1.2)	1.1* (1.01–1.1)
Importance of female virginity	0.9 (0.9–1.0)	0.9*** (0.8–0.9)
Comfort with sexual communication	1.0 (0.9–1.1)	1.0 (0.9–1.1)
Satisfaction x virginity	0.9 (0.8–1.0)	0.8*** (0.8–0.9)
Total R ²	0.09	0.14
Adjusted R ²	0.06	0.12

*p<.05. **p<.01. ***p<.001. Note: The following covariates were included in the analyses: language use, participant's age, parental marital status, parental education and partner age gap. Results are available upon request.

TABLE 4. Odds ratios (and 95% confidence intervals) from multinomial logistic regression analyses assessing associations between youths' sexual values and condom use, by gender

Value and condom use	Males		Females	
	Never	Sometimes	Never	Sometimes
Condom use in first month				
Importance of satisfaction of sexual needs	1.0 (0.5–1.8)	1.9** (1.2–2.8)	1.6 (1.0–2.8)	1.1 (0.7–1.7)
Importance of female virginity	0.8 (0.4–1.5)	1.5 (1.0–2.3)	1.8* (1.1–3.1)	1.1 (0.7–1.6)
Comfort with sexual communication	1.5 (0.7–3.4)	1.6 (1.0–2.7)	0.8 (0.4–1.5)	1.1 (0.6–1.8)
Satisfaction x virginity	0.9 (0.4–2.2)	1.1 (0.6–2.0)	0.9 (0.4–1.9)	0.9 (0.5–1.7)
Condom use in last month				
Importance of satisfaction of sexual needs	1.4 (0.9–2.1)	1.4 (0.9–2.4)	1.4 (0.9–2.2)	1.1 (0.6–1.8)
Importance of female virginity	1.3 (0.8–2.0)	1.4 (0.9–2.3)	1.1 (0.7–1.7)	1.1 (0.7–1.8)
Comfort with sexual communication	2.1** (1.2–3.6)	2.2* (1.2–4.0)	2.0* (1.2–3.5)	1.3 (0.7–2.5)
Satisfaction x virginity	0.9 (0.5–1.7)	1.3 (0.6–2.6)	2.0* (1.0–4.0)	1.3 (0.6–2.7)

* $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$. Notes: Use of condoms "never" and "sometimes" is compared with use "always." The following covariates were included in the analyses: language use, participant's age, parental marital status, parental education and partner age gap. Results are available upon request.

FIGURE 1. Women's sexual behaviors as predicted by the interaction between importance of female virginity and importance of satisfaction of sexual needs

