

**TABLE 1. Selected characteristics of participants in a trial of an STD prevention intervention for couples at high risk, 1997–2002**

Characteristic	Women (N=217)	Men (N=217)
<b>Mean age (range, 18–55 for women, 18–63 for men)*</b>	36.4 (8.2)	38.7 (9.6)
<b>Race/ethnicity</b>		
Black	54	55
Latino	40	38
Other	6	7
<b>Marital status</b>		
Never-married	60	55
Divorced/separated/widowed	25	31
Married	15	14
<b>Income*</b>		
<\$5,000	68	50
\$5,000–9,999	19	23
\$10,000–19,999	9	16
≥\$20,000	4	11
<b>Education</b>		
<high school	66	65
High school	16	16
>high school	18	19
<b>HIV status</b>		
Negative	70	68
Positive	22	20
Unknown	8	12
<b>Relationship confidence*</b>		
Not confident	7	11
Confident	93	89
<b>Mean sexual comfort (range, 10–50)*</b>	41.1 (8.7)	44.7 (5.4)
<b>Mean relationship satisfaction (range, 7–35)*</b>	27.9 (5.2)	29.1 (4.3)

\* $p \leq .01$ . Notes: Unless otherwise noted, data are percentages. Differences between genders were assessed in t tests. Figures in parentheses are standard deviations. Men's reports of income, relationship and sexual comfort were based on 216 responses.

**TABLE 2. Percentage distribution of participants, by agreement between their reports and their partner's reports of their partner's risk behavior, according to gender**

Behavior	Female	Male
<b>Partner has been nonmonogamous in the past 90 days</b>	(N=129)	(N=176)
Both say yes	12.4	6.3
Both say no	59.7	74.4
Says yes, partner says no	24.8	5.1
Says no, partner says yes	3.1	14.2
<i>Kappa</i>	0.32	0.29
<b>Partner ever injected drugs</b>	(N=210)	(N=213)
Both say yes	20.5	17.8
Both say no	61.9	68.1
Says yes, partner says no	7.1	2.3
Says no, partner says yes	10.5	11.7
<i>Kappa</i>	0.58	0.63
<b>Partner received an STD diagnosis in the past 90 days</b>	(N=215)	(N=215)
Both say yes	0.5	0.5
Both say no	88.8	86.5
Says yes, partner says no	0.9	1.4
Says no, partner says yes	9.8	11.6
<i>Kappa</i>	0.06	0.04
<b>Partner's HIV status</b>	(N=179)	(N=188)
Perceives positive, partner says positive	20.1	20.2
Perceives negative, partner says negative	74.9	75.0
Perceives positive, partner says negative	2.8	1.1
Perceives negative, partner says positive	2.2	3.7
<i>Kappa</i>	0.86	0.86
<b>Partner traded sex in the past 90 days</b>		(N=171)
Both say yes	na	1.2
Both say no	na	93.0
Says yes, partner says no	na	1.2
Says no, partner says yes	na	4.7
<i>Kappa</i>	na	0.26

Note: na=not applicable, because question was asked only about women.

**TABLE 3. Odds ratios (and 95% confidence intervals) from logistic regression analysis assessing associations between participants' unawareness of their partner's risk behaviors and selected characteristics**

Characteristic	Women's unawareness		Men's unawareness	
	Partner's past drug use	Partner's STD status	Partner's past drug use	Partner's HIV status
<b>Women</b>				
Age	1.06* (1.01–1.12)	1.09* (1.02–1.16)	1.14** (1.08–1.20)	1.06 (0.97–1.16)
Race/ethnicity				
Black (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Latino	0.95 (0.32–2.85)	2.15 (0.64–7.20)	2.40 (0.95–6.11)	1.76 (0.37–8.32)
Other	15.82** (3.82–65.47)	3.96 (0.63–24.90)	4.11 (0.65–25.95)	na
Marital status				
Never-married (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Divorced/separated/widowed	0.95 (0.26–3.41)	0.54 (0.12–2.38)	0.49 (0.12–2.07)	8.23* (1.01–67.08)
Married	0.94 (0.21–4.19)	2.82 (0.80–9.91)	1.51 (0.45–5.13)	6.63 (0.34–128.96)
Education				
<high school (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
≥high school	0.85 (0.29–2.48)	0.88 (0.27–2.88)	0.30 (0.08–1.12)	0.07* (0.01–0.58)
Sexual comfort	0.98 (0.92–1.04)	1.04 (0.98–1.11)	1.01 (0.96–1.07)	1.09 (0.95–1.25)
Relationship satisfaction	1.17** (1.05–1.31)	1.00 (0.90–1.11)	1.01 (0.91–1.12)	0.87 (0.74–1.02)
Confident in relationship	0.28 (0.05–1.58)	0.13** (0.03–0.54)	1.08 (0.15–7.73)	0.05** (0.01–0.39)
<b>Men</b>				
Age	1.01 (0.97–1.06)	1.03 (0.98–1.08)	1.03 (0.98–1.08)	1.07* (1.01–1.14)
Race/ethnicity				
Black (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Latino	0.86 (0.32–2.33)	3.72* (1.31–10.58)	2.14 (0.83–5.49)	0.69 (0.13–3.74)
Other	1.15 (0.19–7.04)	na	1.24 (0.22–6.90)	1.47 (0.12–18.80)
Marital status				
Never-married (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Divorced/separated/widowed	0.87 (0.29–2.59)	0.53 (0.10–2.67)	1.51 (0.48–4.68)	0.82 (0.08–7.97)
Married	1.03 (0.25–4.24)	4.42* (1.32–14.77)	0.54 (0.10–2.79)	2.92 (0.38–22.54)
Education				
<high school (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
≥high school	0.78 (0.27–2.26)	0.51 (0.14–1.93)	0.85 (0.34–2.12)	0.22 (0.02–2.08)
Sexual comfort	0.92 (0.85–1.01)	1.02 (0.95–1.11)	0.99 (0.93–1.06)	0.98 (0.87–1.10)
Relationship satisfaction	1.19** (1.06–1.34)	1.02 (0.91–1.15)	1.00 (0.89–1.12)	0.99 (0.84–1.17)
Confident in relationship	0.50 (0.08–2.99)	0.54 (0.12–2.40)	4.09 (0.72–23.16)	0.55 (0.04–7.44)

\*p<.05. \*\*p<.01. Notes: ref=reference group. na=not applicable, because variable was omitted from the model. Relationship confidence was a dichotomous measure; all other characteristics for which no reference group is shown were continuous.