

TABLE 1. Percentage distribution of women aged 18–44 at risk of unintended pregnancy, by current contraceptive method, according to selected characteristics, California Women’s Health Survey, 2006–2008

Characteristic	N	Male sterilization	Female sterilization	IUD	Injectable	Ring	Patch	Pill	Condom	Other	None	Total
Total	3,277	10	10	8	3	2	1	23	20	2	21	100
Race/ethnicity												
White	1,471	16	8	9	2	3	0	26	16	2	19	100
Black	148	4	14	4	6	1	1	17	22	4	28	100
Hispanic												
U.S.-born	443	8	10	8	5	1	1	25	18	0	24	100
Foreign-born	983	4	17	8	5	1	3	16	22	2	22	100
Asian												
U.S.-born	68	9	2	4	4	1	0	28	25	2	25	100
Foreign-born	164	1	4	10	1	0	0	21	36	1	26	100
% of poverty level												
>200	1,936	15	7	8	2	2	1	26	19	2	19	100
101–200	588	6	15	8	4	2	1	17	20	3	23	100
≤100	753	2	14	7	6	2	2	18	21	1	26	100
Education												
High school	2,638	11	8	8	3	2	1	24	21	2	21	100
<high school	639	3	20	6	5	1	2	16	19	1	25	100
Age												
18–19	57	0	0	5	12	11	3	24	26	0	19	100
20–24	283	1	0	9	6	2	1	33	20	2	23	100
25–29	582	3	5	7	3	4	2	33	21	2	21	100
30–34	729	8	13	11	3	0	1	19	22	2	20	100
35–39	801	13	14	8	1	0	0	18	21	2	22	100
40–44	825	24	15	6	1	0	0	15	14	2	21	100
Parity												
0	653	3	1	2	3	4	1	36	24	2	24	100
1	563	6	3	12	4	2	2	23	21	2	27	100
≥2	2,061	15	17	10	3	1	1	15	18	2	18	100

Notes: In chi-square tests, differences in distributions by all demographic characteristics were significant at $p < .01$. “Other” methods are female barrier methods, spermicides, natural family planning and lactational amenorrhea; no respondents reported current use of implants or emergency contraception. Sample Ns are unweighted. Percentages may not add to 100 because of rounding.

TABLE 2. Odds ratios (and 95% confidence intervals) from logistic regression analyses assessing the likelihood that women used any high-efficacy reversible contraceptive or the IUD, by selected characteristics

Characteristic	High-efficacy reversible			IUD		
	Unadjusted	Model 1	Model 2	Unadjusted	Model 1	Model 2
Race/ethnicity						
White (ref)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Black	0.5 (0.3–0.8)*	0.5 (0.3–0.8)*	0.5 (0.3–0.8)*	0.5 (0.2–1.1)	0.4 (0.2–1.0)	0.5 (0.2–1.1)
Hispanic						
U.S.-born	0.8 (0.6–1.1)	0.7 (0.5–0.9)*	0.8 (0.6–1.1)	0.9 (0.5–1.4)	0.7 (0.5–1.2)	0.8 (0.5–1.3)
Foreign-born	0.7 (0.6–0.9)*	0.6 (0.5–0.8)*	0.8 (0.6–1.1)	0.9 (0.6–1.2)	0.5 (0.3–0.7)*	0.7 (0.5–1.2)
Asian						
U.S.-born	0.7 (0.4–1.3)	0.7 (0.3–1.2)	0.7 (0.4–1.3)	0.3 (0.1–1.1)	0.3 (0.1–1.1)	0.3 (0.1–1.0)
Foreign-born	0.5 (0.3–0.7)*	0.5 (0.3–0.7)*	0.5 (0.3–0.7)*	0.9 (0.5–1.6)	0.8 (0.4–1.4)	0.8 (0.4–1.4)
% of poverty level						
>200 (ref)	1.0	na	1.0	1.0	na	1.0
101–200	0.8 (0.6–1.0)	na	0.7 (0.5–0.9)*	1.1 (0.8–1.6)	na	0.9 (0.6–1.4)
≤100	0.8 (0.6–1.0)	na	0.6 (0.5–0.9)*	0.8 (0.5–1.2)	na	0.7 (0.4–1.1)
Education						
High school (ref)	1.0	na	1.0	1.0	na	1.0
<high school	0.8 (0.6–1.0)	na	0.9 (0.7–1.2)	0.8 (0.6–1.1)	na	0.6 (0.4–1.0)
Age						
18–19 (ref)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
20–24	0.9 (0.5–1.8)	0.9 (0.5–1.7)	0.9 (0.5–1.6)	2.4 (0.6–9.0)	1.3 (0.4–5.1)	1.2 (0.3–4.3)
25–29	0.9 (0.5–1.6)	0.8 (0.4–1.5)	0.7 (0.4–1.4)	1.7 (0.5–6.1)	0.7 (0.2–2.5)	0.5 (0.1–1.9)
30–34	0.7 (0.4–1.2)	0.6 (0.3–1.1)	0.5 (0.3–0.9)*	3.5 (1.0–12.6)	1.0 (0.3–3.8)	0.8 (0.2–2.9)
35–39	0.5 (0.3–0.9)*	0.4 (0.2–0.8)*	0.4 (0.2–0.7)*	2.5 (0.7–9.1)	0.7 (0.2–2.4)	0.5 (0.1–1.9)
40–44	0.5 (0.3–0.9)*	0.4 (0.2–0.7)*	0.3 (0.2–0.6)*	2.4 (0.7–8.7)	0.6 (0.2–2.3)	0.5 (0.1–1.7)
Parity						
0 (ref)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
1	0.9 (0.7–1.2)	1.1 (0.9–1.5)	1.2 (0.9–1.5)	7.8 (4.2–14.6)*	9.4 (4.8–18.6)*	10.1 (5.0–20.4)*
≥2	0.9 (0.7–1.1)	1.2 (1.0–1.7)	1.4 (1.1–1.9)*	9.0 (5.2–15.8)*	12.6 (6.6–24.3)*	14.0 (7.1–27.8)

*p<.05. Notes: High-efficacy reversible methods are the IUD and hormonal methods. ref=reference group. na=not applicable, because characteristic was not included in the model.

TABLE 3. Odds ratios (and 95% confidence intervals) from logistic regression analyses assessing the likelihood that women used female or male sterilization, by selected characteristics

Characteristic	Female sterilization			Male sterilization		
	Age-adjusted	Model 1	Model 2	Age-adjusted	Model 1	Model 2
Race/ethnicity						
White (ref)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Black	2.3 (1.4–3.7)*	2.6 (1.5–4.4)*	1.9 (1.1–3.4)*	0.3 (0.1–0.6)*	0.3 (0.1–0.6)*	0.3 (0.2–0.7)*
Hispanic						
U.S.-born	2.4 (1.6–3.5)*	2.0 (1.4–3.0)*	1.7 (1.1–2.5)*	0.8 (0.6–1.3)	0.7 (0.5–1.1)	0.9 (0.6–1.3)
Foreign-born	2.8 (2.2–3.7)*	2.0 (1.5–2.7)*	1.0 (0.7–1.5)	0.2 (0.1–0.3)*	0.2 (0.1–0.4)*	0.5 (0.2–1.1)
Asian						
U.S.-born	0.4 (0.1–1.6)	0.4 (0.1–1.6)	0.5 (0.1–1.9)	0.6 (0.3–1.3)	0.5 (0.2–1.3)	0.5 (0.2–1.1)
Foreign-born	0.4 (0.2–1.0)	0.5 (0.2–1.0)	0.4 (0.2–0.9)*	0.1 (0.0–0.2)*	0.1 (0.0–0.3)*	0.1 (0.0–0.3)*
% of poverty level						
>200 (ref)	1.0	na	1.0	1.0	na	1.0
101–200	3.3 (2.4–4.4)*	na	2.2 (1.5–3.2)*	0.4 (0.3–0.6)*	na	0.5 (0.3–0.8)*
≤100	3.9 (3.0–5.2)*	na	2.4 (1.6–3.6)*	0.2 (0.1–0.3)*	na	0.3 (0.1–0.5)*
Education						
High school (ref)	1.0	na	1.0	1.0	na	1.0
<high school	3.0 (2.3–3.8)*	na	1.3 (0.9–1.9)	0.2 (0.1–0.4)*	na	0.7 (0.4–1.2)
Parity						
0 (ref)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
1	2.8 (1.1–7.1)*	2.5 (1.0–6.3)	2.4 (1.0–6.1)	1.3 (0.8–2.2)	1.4 (0.8–2.4)	1.5 (0.9–2.5)
≥2	16.0 (7.3–35.3)*	13.1 (5.9–28.8)*	11.5 (5.2–25.4)*	2.8 (1.8–4.2)	3.8 (2.5–5.8)*	4.2 (2.7–6.5)*

*p<.05. Notes: ref=reference group. na=not applicable, because characteristic was not included in the model.