TABLE 1. Number of intervals of use of various contraceptive methods, and probability of failure within the first three, six and 12 months of use, by method, National Survey of Family Growth, United States, 2006-2010 Method No. of Three Six 12

months

3 3 (0 30)

months

months

65 (0 22) 10 2 (0 40)

intervals

15 720

ΛII+

the Peto method

All+	13,720	3.3 (0.20)	0.5 (0.52)	10.5 (0.75)	ı
All hormonals and					
IUD§	4,760	2.0 (0.24)	3.9 (0.37)	6.0 (0.52)	
Injectable	893	1.8 (0.53)	2.8 (0.76)	4.0 (1.06)	l
Pill	3,307	2.2 (0.31)	4.7 (0.49)	7.2 (0.68)	l
Male condom	6,819	4.0 (0.38)	7.8 (0.66)	12.6 (1.11)	l
Withdrawal	1,808	5.8 (0.85)	11.9 (1.39)	19.9 (2.03)	
Long-acting reversible++	560	0.4 (0.31)	0.6 (0.39)	1.4 (0.68)	l

acting reversible methods. Notes: Number of intervals is unweighted; prob-

‡Includes methods for which number of intervals was too small for separate analysis. These methods represented 13% of all intervals. §"All hormonals" consists of the injectable, the pill and the implant. ††IUD and implant; the IUD represents 3% of all intervals and 90% of all intervals of use of long-

abilities of failure are weighted and corrected for abortion underreporting. Numbers in parentheses are standard errors, which were calculated using

Method 1995

of failure between years, by method

All±

Pill

Injectable

All hormonals and IUD§

No. of intervals	12-month probability of failure

6.839

2.539

2.127

209

14.9 (0.71)

8.1 (0.80)

5.4 (3.44)

8.8 (0.90)

Male condom 2,909 17.8 (1.23) 3,845 17.4 (1.46) 6,819 12.6 (1.11) -0.4-4.8**-5.2**Withdrawal 438 28.4 (3.54) 848 18.4 (2.67) 1,808 19.9 (2.03) -10.0*1.5 -8.5*

TABLE 2. Probability of failure within the first 12 months of contraceptive use, by survey year, and absolute difference in probability

12-month

probability

12.4 (0.64)

7.9 (0.69)

6.7 (1.52)

8.7 (0.83)

of failure

2006-2010

12-month

probability

10.3 (0.49)

6.0 (0.52)

4.0 (1.06)

7.2 (0.68)

of failure

No. of

intervals

15,728

4.760

3.307

893

Difference in probability of failure

2006-2010

1995 vs.

-4.6***

-2.1*

-1.4

-1.6

2006-2010

2002 vs.

-2.1**

-1.9*

-2.7

-1.5

1995 vs.

-2.5**

-0.2

-0.1

1.3

2002

2002

No. of

9,033

3,393

2.541

715

intervals

^{*}p<.05.**p<.01.***p<.001.*!ncludes methods for which number of intervals was too small for separate analysis. §"All hormonals" consists of the injectable, the pill

and the implant. Notes: Data are from the 1995, 2002 and 2006–2010 cycles of the NSFG. Number of intervals is unweighted; probabilities of failure are weighted

and corrected for abortion underreporting. Numbers in parentheses are standard errors, which were calculated using the Peto method.

TABLE 3. Probability of failure within the first 12 months of use among users of all nonpermanent methods combined and of selected nonpermanent methods, by women's demographic characteristics Characteristic All§ Pill Male condom Withdrawal All hormonals and IUD++

	intervals	probability of failure								
Parity at conception										
0 (ref)	7,534	5.2 (0.56)	1,722	3.2 (0.64)	3,745	7.7 (1.48)	767	8.4 (2.54)	2,048	2.9 (0.56)
1	3,676	13.6 (1.14)***	717	11.5 (1.93)**	1,497	17.8 (2.57)*	444	20.5 (4.42)	1,144	9.0 (1.37)**
≥2	4,518	14.6 (0.92)***	868	11.6 (1.61)***	1,577	16.4 (1.97)*	597	27.7 (3.35)**	1,568	8.2 (1.02)***
Age at start of method use										
15–19	4,378	11.4 (1.01)	1,031	7.8 (1.22)	2,103	16.0 (2.54)	377	‡ ‡	1,399	6.4 (0.98)
15–17	2,419	10.8 (1.32)	552	6.6 (1.50)	1,243	16.4 (3.29)	186	‡ ‡	746	5.5 (1.22)
18–19	1,959	12.1 (1.55)	479	9.2 (2.02)	860	15.7 (4.01)	191	‡ ‡	653	7.5 (1.60)
20–24 (ref)	4,113	11.2 (1.00)	953	6.7 (1.34)	1,673	14.6 (2.34)	432	21.0 (3.94)	1,376	6.3 (1.07)
25–29	3,343	10.7 (0.99)	700	10.4 (1.73)‡	1,395	12.0 (2.10)	365	19.8 (4.03)	1,063	8.2 (1.27)‡
30–44	3,894	8.3 (0.88)	623	4.1 (1.14)	1,648	8.4 (1.86)	634	17.7 (3.41)	922	3.3 (0.83)
Race/ethnicity										
Black	3,781	15.3 (1.31)***	602	13.1 (2.50)*	1,950	20.7 (2.66)**,‡	347	21.9 (5.69)	1,025	9.2 (1.50)
Hispanic	3,298	13.8 (1.20)**	634	9.5 (1.87)	1,324	19.3 (2.78)*,‡	405	18.3 (3.94)	1,017	7.2 (1.31)
White (ref)	7,686	8.3 (0.61)	1,907	6.1 (0.81)	3,113	8.7 (1.42)	921	21.4 (2.91)	2,491	5.3 (0.66)
Other	963	9.8 (1.88)	164	4.0 (2.17)	432	7.1 (3.08)	135	##	227	3.9 (1.79)
Union status at conception										
Married	3,522	8.5 (0.78)**	741	7.4 (1.33)	1,144	5.6 (1.38)***	522	19.3 (3.06)	1,117	5.6 (0.94)
Cohabiting (ref)	2,323	14.9 (1.34)	477	10.6 (2.04)	788	23.9 (3.36)	321	15.9 (4.47)	823	8.3 (1.45)
Never-married	8,740	9.6 (0.74)	1,892	6.2 (0.90)	4,375	12.8 (1.76)*,†	801	23.2 (4.12)	2,503	5.3 (0.73)
Formerly married	1,143	13.9 (2.35)†	197	6.2 (2.50)	512	‡ ‡	164	‡ ‡	317	8.5 (2.31)
Not currently in union	9,883	10.1 (0.71)*	2,089	6.1 (0.85)	4,887	14.3 (1.74)	965	22.5 (3.75)	2,820	5.6 (0.70)

2,035

1,620

3,164

*p<.05. **p<.01. ***p<.001. †Significantly different from rate in first row at p<.05. ‡Significantly different from rate in last row at p<.05. §Includes methods for which number of intervals was too small for separate analysis. † "All hormonals" consists of the injectable, the pill and the implant. ‡‡Did not meet the minimum of 50 intervals at every duration required to ensure estimate stability. Notes: Data are from the 2006–2010 cycle of the NSFG. Number of intervals is unweighted; probabilities of failure are weighted and corrected for abortion underreporting. Numbers in

23.8 (2.89)‡

6.9 (1.21)*

15.2 (2.42)

492

443

873

31.6 (5.29)‡

26.3 (4.25)

12.1 (2.36)*

1,382

1,158

2,220

9.9 (1.27)‡

6.9 (1.17)

4.1 (0.62)

13.2 (1.85)‡

8.6 (1.61)

4.7 (0.75)

798

759

1,750

% of federal poverty level at interview

4,504

3,805

7,419

17.3 (1.21)‡

13.4 (1.12)

parentheses are standard errors, which were calculated using the Peto method. ref=reference group.

6.3 (0.55)***

0-99

>200

100-199 (ref)

probability intervals probability intervals probability intervals probability intervals probability intervals

12-month No. of 12-month No. of No. of No. of 12-month No.of 12-month 12-month

TABLE 4. Probability of failure within the first 12 months of use of all reversible methods combined, and absolute difference in probability of failure, by survey year, according to women's demographic characteristics Characteristic Probability of failure Difference in probability of failure

Characteristic	Probability of i	anure		Dilicicinee iii p	obability of failure		
	1995	2002	2006–2010	1995 vs. 2002	2002 vs. 2006–2010	1995 vs. 2006–2010	
Age at start of method us	e						
<20	15.6 (1.47)	13.1 (1.37)	11.4 (1.01)	-2.5	-1.7	-4.2*	
20-24	17.1 (1.44)	14.3 (1.29)	11.2 (1.00)	-2.8	-3.1	-5.9* **	
25-29	14.6 (1.46)	14.9 (1.50)	10.7 (0.99)	0.3	-4.2*	-3.9*	
≥30	11.9 (1.25)	8.2 (1.01)	8.3 (0.88)	-3.7*	0.1	-3.6*	
Race/ethnicity							
Black	23.9 (1.73)	21.3 (1.88)	15.3 (1.31)	-2.6	-6.0**	-8.6***	
Hispanic	18.4 (2.02)	15.0 (1.52)	13.8 (1.20)	-3.4	-1.2	-4.6	
	12.6 (0.83)	10.1 (0.76)	8.4 (0.58)	-2.5*	-1.7	-4.2***	
Parity at conception							
0	14.3 (1.08)	6.2 (0.76)	5.2 (0.56)	-8.1***	-1.0	-9.1***	
1	15.9 (1.38)	18.0 (1.49)	13.6 (1.14)	2.1	-4.4*	-2.3	
≥2	14.8 (1.24)	16.3 (1.17)	14.6 (0.92)	1.5	-1.7	-0.2	
% of federal poverty							
level at interview							
<100	25.5 (2.05)	19.8 (1.75)	17.3 (1.21)	-5.7*	-2.5	-8.2***	
100-199	17.3 (1.62)	17.7 (1.60)	13.4 (1.12)	0.4	-4.3*	-3.9*	
		8.4 (0.71)	6.3 (0.55)	-3.2**	-2.1*	-5.3***	

Union status at conception 9.5 (0.94) Married 10.4 (0.91) 8.5 (0.78) -0.9-1.0-1.9

Cohabiting 28.7 (2.98) 21.7 (1.86) 14.9 (1.34) -7.0*-6.8**-13.8*** Formerly married 23.1 (3.16) 12.2 (2.43) 13.9 (2.35) -10.9** 1.7 -9.2*

9.6 (0.74)

*p<.05.**p<.01.***p<.001.‡The white and other race categories were combined in this analysis to match the categories across waves. Notes: Data are from the 1995, 2002 and 2006–2010 cycles of the NSFG. Probabilities of failure are weighted and corrected for abortion underreporting. Numbers in parentheses are standard

-3.9*

-1.9

-5.8***

Never-married

15.4 (1.13)

errors, which were calculated using the Peto method.

11.5 (1.04)