It would be hard to overstate the importance of Medicaid in financing the nation’s publicly funded family planning effort. The joint federal-state insurance program for lower-income Americans provides the vast majority of dollars spent on family planning and has been responsible for almost all the growth in public family planning spending over the past two decades (related article, page 7). But for all its size, Medicaid is limited by the very fact that it is an insurance program. As such, it subsidizes care only for those individuals who meet its strict eligibility requirements and are able to successfully enroll. And even then, Medicaid—like any insurance scheme—generally covers only the clinical core of the services many family planning clients need.

Although it cannot parallel Medicaid’s dollars, Title X has the flexibility the behemoth lacks. Title X funds go to family planning centers up-front as grants, rather than after-the-fact as reimbursement for services centers have provided to individual enrollees. In addition to their critical role in supporting client-specific clinical services, these grants undergird the infrastructure and general operations of the centers themselves—essentially enabling them to open their doors so that clients can walk through those doors.

But even in their support of client-specific services, Title X dollars are special because they can go where Medicaid cannot. They can be used to provide care to individuals not eligible for Medicaid or otherwise insured. And they can be deployed to provide the intensity of care—beyond that which Medicaid or other insurance plans will cover—that many family planning center clients need to successfully avoid an unintended pregnancy.

Because a center receiving Title X dollars in any amount is subject to Title X regulations and quality-of-care standards for all of its clients, Title X shapes a recipient center’s entire family planning effort. And because 4,000 Title X–supported centers serve two in three women obtaining family planning care at publicly funded centers across the country, this comparatively small program has an extraordinary national reach—well beyond the proportion of the overall funding it contributes (see chart).

New findings from a Guttmacher Institute survey of a nationally representative sample of family planning centers—including both those that receive Title X funding and those that do not—show the vital role Title X plays. They particularly show the importance of Title X in three key respects: serving uninsured clients, helping clients easily

---

**OUTSIZED REACH**

Title X sets the standards in the clinics where two of three women are served, even though it contributes only 10% of the dollars spent on publicly funded family planning.

Note: FY 2010 data. Source: Reference 3.
Title X network: Almost six in 10 clients served in centers operated by health departments have no third-party coverage for their care.4

This flexibility to serve uninsured clients is critical now and will continue to be going forward. Even if the Affordable Care Act is fully implemented, there will still be many individuals not eligible for coverage either through Medicaid or an exchange plan, or who will experience gaps in their coverage. Many of these individuals will be immigrants—not only undocumented immigrants, but also legal immigrants in their first five years of residence.

Enabling Women to Get, and Start, a Method
Family planning centers supported by Title X offer clients a wide choice of contraceptive methods. The Guttmacher study found that Title X–funded sites on average offer clients a choice of almost 10 contraceptive methods, and nearly seven in 10 offer at least one long-acting reversible method, such as the IUD or the contraceptive implant.

Along with offering women a broad choice of methods, sites that receive Title X support are more likely than centers not funded through the program to provide contraceptives on-site, rather than giving women a prescription that must be filled at a pharmacy (see chart). Doing so can be critically important. Giving a prescription for oral contraceptives requires a woman to make two trips—one to the family planning center and one to the pharmacy—to get the contraceptives she needs. Giving a woman a prescription for Depo-Provera means that she then has to return to the family planning center for the injection itself—a total of three separate trips. This can be a significant obstacle for a woman who is juggling the demands of school, family or work, as are so many clients, or who is dependent on public transportation or perhaps a borrowed car.

Moreover, centers receiving Title X support work hard to make it easy for women to get started on their method quickly. For example, Title X–supported centers are more likely to use the Quick Start protocol, under which women choosing oral contraceptives begin taking them immediately, rather than having to wait until a

GETTING AND STARTING A CONTRACEPTIVE METHOD
Title X–funded family planning centers are more likely than others to take steps to make it simple for women to obtain and start using the contraceptive method of their choice without delay.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Title X</th>
<th>No Title X</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial supply and refills provided on-site</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quick Start protocol used often/sometimes</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelvic exam delayed often/sometimes</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC dispensed in advance often/sometimes</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injectable available and administered on-site</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

% of family planning centers using specific protocol

The breadth of the effort in Title X–supported centers reflects their commitment to meeting the diverse needs of a highly diverse clientele—ranging from those experiencing intimate partner violence to those who are incarcerated.* According to the Guttmacher study, Title X sites on average have staff trained in serving eight different groups of clients with special needs and operate special programs or outreach efforts aimed at an average of five groups of clients.

For millions of American teenagers, for example, it is a family planning center that makes effective contraceptive use possible in their lives, by giving them access to both affordable and confidential contraceptive services. Serving adolescents has been, and remains, a priority under the Title X statute, and reflecting that priority, Title X sites place more emphasis than other centers on serving teens. Fully 91% have staff trained in meeting

Caring for Clients with Special Needs
Family planning centers are filled with clients who have special needs and who therefore might need specialized outreach or services, or who might benefit by being cared for by specially trained staff. Tailored programs and services may be particularly critical given the sensitive nature of the services provided in family planning centers—and how they might resonate in some communities or raise concerns in others.

CLEARING OBSTACLES
Regardless of whether they focus on providing reproductive health care specifically or comprehensive primary care, centers that receive Title X funds are more likely to take steps to enable women to start using their contraceptive method quickly.

The need to schedule a pelvic exam may cause a delay in places such as rural areas where workforce shortages limit the availability of providers. The need to schedule a pelvic exam may cause a delay in places such as rural areas where workforce shortages limit the availability of providers. Also, having to obtain a pelvic exam is widely viewed as a barrier for younger clients who may never have had a gynecological exam before. Finally, centers supported by Title X are more likely than other sites to provide emergency contraception to women in advance, which enables them to have it readily available should they need it and when time is of the essence.4


The breadth of the effort in Title X–supported centers reflects their commitment to meeting the diverse needs of a highly diverse clientele—ranging from those experiencing intimate partner violence to those who are incarcerated.* According to the Guttmacher study, Title X sites on average have staff trained in serving eight different groups of clients with special needs and operate special programs or outreach efforts aimed at an average of five groups of clients.

For millions of American teenagers, for example, it is a family planning center that makes effective contraceptive use possible in their lives, by giving them access to both affordable and confidential contraceptive services. Serving adolescents has been, and remains, a priority under the Title X statute, and reflecting that priority, Title X sites place more emphasis than other centers on serving teens. Fully 91% have staff trained in meeting

*Clinic staff were asked about efforts to reach and serve 14 groups of clients: adolescents, non-English speaking individuals, individuals experiencing intimate partner violence, individuals with substance abuse problems, men, minors in foster care, immigrants, lesbian and gay individuals, couples, homeless individuals, incarcerated individuals, disabled individuals, refugees and sex workers.
Title X–supported centers spend more time with clients than do centers that do not receive support through the program—particularly for clients who are young, who have limited English skills or who have other complex needs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Average number of minutes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25-year-olds</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-year-olds</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limited English</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex issues</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: 2010 data. Average number of minutes for initial contraceptive visit, including counseling and the exam but not any waiting time. Source: Reference 4.

Real Value Added

Without doubt, Title X makes a special and outsized contribution to the provision of high-quality subsidized family planning services in the United States. In and of itself, applying for Title X funds is evidence of an agency’s commitment not just to providing contraceptive services but to operating an intensive, focused family planning program dedicated to meeting the diverse reproductive and sexual health needs of a wide range of hard-to-reach and difficult-to-serve clients. And the type of funding that Title X provides—dollars that are not tied to reimbursement for a core set of patient-specific clinical services—enables such a program to do just that: to go beyond the provision of bare-bones clinical care to craft a multifaceted effort in which clinicians and counselors with specialized training can take extra time with clients needing extra effort, and resources are invested in community outreach to identify the agency as a source of high-quality, culturally appropriate, affordable and confidential care.

Just as clearly, that contribution will be no less important even if the Affordable Care Act is fully
implemented, Medicaid is expanded as projected and the nation over time approaches something close to universal health insurance coverage. But the ability of Title X to play its special role is in jeopardy. Although the program managed to survive the very clear threat of extinction in 2011, when the House of Representatives moved to defund it entirely, funding is and has long been far below the need. At $296.8 million, it is more than $20 million below what it was just two years ago. In inflation-adjusted dollars, it is 65% below what it was in 1980.8

As a result, maintaining the ability to go the extra mile to help clients to achieve control over their childbearing—often despite their special needs and the complex circumstances of their lives—is already a heavy lift for Title X–supported centers. As just one example of the challenges they face, although they strive to give clients access to the full array of contraceptive methods, many family planning centers are not able to do so; six in 10 Title X–supported sites say that they are unable to stock some methods because of the cost, according to the Guttmacher survey. Most distressingly, among the methods centers are least likely to be able to make available are long-acting methods, such as the IUD and implant. These are the most effective reversible methods on the market, but they require special expertise and training. And although they are highly cost-effective over time, they carry high up-front costs to centers.

To ameliorate these stresses and many others on the system, the unique value added of the Title X program needs to be fully assessed and appreciated. Currently, the overwhelmingly dominant impact-indicator is the total number of clients served in Title X–funded centers, which leads to national and state-level estimates of the number of unintended pregnancies, births and abortions the program averts. This is largely how the program’s value is demonstrated both within the Department of Health and Human Services to officials who are responsible for requesting funding levels and to members of Congress who ultimately control the purse strings. Obviously, this is a critical barometer of impact, and it clearly must continue.

But focusing on the total number of clients served assesses only the program’s breadth, and not its depth. That depth—the ability to spend extra time with clients who need it, training staff to meet clients’ special or complex needs and operating special programs or outreach efforts tailored to their communities—is a critical part of the program’s unique contribution and key to its impact. It is this depth that, in large measure, allows centers to reach and serve those most likely to have an unintended pregnancy, and for whom unintended pregnancy, if it occurs, is most likely to lead to abortion or to have serious consequences for themselves and their existing families. To assess the full significance and impact of Title X, program stakeholders both in and out of government would be well-served to seek and advocate for new ways to assess performance that value the depth of the effort along with its breadth in meeting the needs of the communities and individuals it serves. www.guttmacher.org
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