

## ■ Medicaid Family Planning Eligibility Expansions

**BACKGROUND:** In recent years, several states have expanded eligibility for Medicaid coverage of family planning services. Historically, states have expanded their programs by securing approval of a “waiver” of federal policy from the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Most of the expansion states grant coverage for family planning solely on the basis of income to individuals not previously covered under Medicaid. A handful of states have received federal approval for more limited expansions that continue coverage for family planning for individuals who are leaving the Medicaid program. The passage of health care reform gave states a new and more expeditious option for expanding eligibility for family planning. Under this law, states may expand their programs by amending their state Medicaid plan. Unlike a waiver, which is time-limited, a State Plan Amendment is a permanent change to the state’s Medicaid program. However, in June 2012, the U.S. Supreme Court ruled that the federal government could not force states to accept a major element of the health care reform law—its large-scale expansion to the Medicaid program. This decision adds a major new piece of uncertainty to the law’s implementation and coverage for millions of the poorest Americans could be jeopardized if states decide to opt out of that expansion.

### HIGHLIGHTS:

- 28 states have federal approval to extend Medicaid eligibility for family planning services to individuals who would otherwise not be eligible. (Texas operates a similar, but entirely state-funded, program that provides family planning services to women at least 18 years of age with incomes up to 185% of the federal poverty line)
  - 25 states provide family planning benefits to individuals based on income; most states set the income ceiling at or near 200% of poverty.
  - 1 state provides family planning benefits for women losing Medicaid for any reason.
  - 2 states have extended eligibility for family planning services to women losing Medicaid postpartum.
- 20 states provide family planning benefits to men and women.
- 21 states include individuals who are younger than 19 years of age; 2 additional states include 18 year olds but not younger individuals.
- 14 states operate their programs under a waiver from the federal government; 14 states operate their programs through a State Plan Amendment.



Advancing sexual and reproductive health worldwide through research, policy analysis and public education.

125 Maiden Lane  
New York, NY 10038  
212.248.1111  
[www.guttmacher.org](http://www.guttmacher.org)  
[info@guttmacher.org](mailto:info@guttmacher.org)

1301 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20036  
202.296.4012  
[www.guttmacher.org](http://www.guttmacher.org)  
[policy@guttmacher.org](mailto:policy@guttmacher.org)

# MEDICAID FAMILY PLANNING ELIGIBILITY EXPANSIONS

STATE	BASIS FOR ELIGIBILITY			ELIGIBLE POPULATION INCLUDES		ORGANIZED AS A:		WAIVER EXPIRATION DATE
	Based Solely on Income	Losing Coverage for Any Reason	Losing Coverage Postpartum	Men	Individuals <19	State Plan Amendment	Waiver	
Alabama	146% <sup>†</sup>		*	X			X	12/31/17
California	200%			X	X	X		N/A
Connecticut	263% <sup>†</sup>			X	X	X		N/A
Florida		2 years			X		X	12/31/17
Georgia	200%		*		‡		X	Ω
Indiana	146% <sup>†</sup>			X	X	X		N/A
Iowa	300%		*	X	X		X	12/31/16
Louisiana	138% <sup>†</sup>			X	X	X		N/A
Maryland	200%		*		X		X	12/31/16
Michigan	185%						X	6/30/16
Minnesota	200%			X	X		X	12/31/15
Mississippi	199% <sup>†</sup>		*	X	X		X	12/31/17
Missouri	206% <sup>†</sup>		*		‡		X	12/31/17
Montana	216% <sup>†</sup>		*				X	12/31/17
New Hampshire	201% <sup>†</sup>			X	X	X		N/A
New Mexico	255% <sup>†</sup>			X	X	X		N/A
New York	223% <sup>†</sup>		*	X	X	X		N/A
North Carolina	200% <sup>†</sup>			X		X		N/A
Ohio	205% <sup>†</sup>			X	X	X		N/A
Oklahoma	138% <sup>†</sup>		*	X	X	X		N/A
Oregon	250%			X	X		X	12/31/16
Pennsylvania	220% <sup>†</sup>			X	X	X		N/A
Rhode Island			Unlimited		X		X	12/31/18
South Carolina	199% <sup>†</sup>			X	X	X		N/A
Texas <sup>Ψ</sup>								
Virginia	205% <sup>†</sup>		*	X	X	X		N/A
Washington	250%		*	X	X		X	12/31/16
Wisconsin	306% <sup>†</sup>			X	X	X		N/A
Wyoming			Unlimited				X	12/31/17
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>14</b>	

\* State also extends Medicaid eligibility for family planning services to these individuals.

† This eligibility ceiling includes a standard “disregard” to an applicant’s income equal to five percentage points of the federal poverty level.

‡ Includes 18 year olds but not younger individuals.

Ω This waiver is currently being extended on a month-to-month basis.

Ψ Texas operates an entirely state-funded program that provides family planning services to women at least 18 years of age with incomes up to 185% of the federal poverty line.

## FOR MORE INFORMATION:

For information on state legislative and policy activity, click on Guttmacher's [Monthly State Update](#), for state-level policy information see Guttmacher's [State Policies in Brief](#) series, and for information and data on reproductive health issues, go to Guttmacher's [State Center](#). To see state-specific reproductive health information go to Guttmacher's [Data Center](#), and for abortion specific information click on [State Facts About Abortion](#). To keep up with new state relevant data and analysis sign up for the [State News Quarterly Listserv](#).

Sonfield A, [Making Medicaid Managed Care Work for Family Planning Coverage Services](#), *Guttmacher Policy Review*, 2015 18(1):8-13.

Sonfield A, [Beyond Preventing Unplanned Pregnancy: The Broader Benefits of Publicly Funded Family Planning Services](#), *Guttmacher Policy Review*, 2014 17(4):2-6.

Sonfield A, Hasstedt K and Gold RB, [Moving Forward: Family Planning in the Era of Health Reform](#), New York: Guttmacher Institute, 2014.

Hasstedt K, [The State of Sexual and Reproductive Health and Rights in the State of Texas: A Cautionary Tale](#), *Guttmacher Policy Review*, 2014 17(2):14-21.

Sonfield A, [Pay-for-Performance: Making It Work for Safety-Net Family Planning Centers and the Clients They Serve](#), *Guttmacher Policy Review*, 2014 17(2):8-13.

Gold RB., [Back to center stage: ACA decision gives new significance to Medicaid family planning expansions](#), *Guttmacher Policy Review*, 2012. 15(4):13–17.

Sonfield A., [Affordable Care Act survives Supreme Court test, but Medicaid expansion placed in peril](#), *Guttmacher Policy Review*, 2012, 15(3):2–7.

Sonfield A., [The current role of Medicaid in the nation's family planning effort](#), *Guttmacher Policy Review*, 2012, 15(2):7–12.

Sonfield A., [Medicaid remains crucial for reproductive-age women—and also a target for budget cuts](#), *Guttmacher Policy Review*, 2011, 14 (4): 27-28.

Sonfield A and Gold RB, [Medicaid Family Planning Expansions: Lessons Learned and Implications for the Future](#), New York: Guttmacher Institute, 2011.

Gold RB, [Medicaid family planning expansions approved in Ohio, Maryland](#), *Guttmacher Policy Review*, 2011, 14(4):26–27.

Gold RB, [Wise investment: reducing the steep cost to Medicaid of unintended pregnancy in the United States](#), *Guttmacher Policy Review*, 2011, 14(3):6–10.

Sonfield A, Frost JJ and Gold RB, [Estimating the Impact of Expanding Medicaid Eligibility for Family Planning Services: 2011 Update](#), New York: Guttmacher Institute, 2011.

Gold RB et al., [CMS Guidance on Family Planning State Plan Amendments](#), New York, Guttmacher Institute, 2010.

Gold RB, [Breaking new ground: ingenuity and innovation in Medicaid family planning expansions](#), *Guttmacher Policy Review*, 2008, 11(2):7–12.

Sonfield A, Alrich C and Gold RB, [State Government Innovation in the Design and Implementation of Medicaid Family Planning Expansions](#), New York: Guttmacher Institute, 2008.

Gold RB, [Rekindling efforts to prevent unplanned pregnancy: a matter of 'equity and common sense'](#), *Guttmacher Policy Review*, 2006, 9(3):2–7.