

Table 6. Statistically significant regression coefficients, test statistics and odds ratios (with 95% confidence intervals) from multivariate logistic regression analyses predicting current contraceptive use in 1992 and 1996

Variable and year	Coefficient	Test statistic	Odds ratio
1992 (N=846)			
Visited APROFAM clinic	4.50	6.05	†
Mistimed pregnancy	1.74	2.29	5.68 (1.28–25.30)
Exposed to media	0.79	2.02	†
Interaction between APROFAM clinic and media exposure	-2.55	-2.73	†
Impact of media exposure with clinic visit	na	na	0.17 (0.03–0.90)
Impact of media exposure without clinic visit	na	na	2.21 (1.02–4.80)
1996 (N=958)			
Visited APROFAM clinic	1.31	3.03	†
Number of living children			
3–4	1.08	4.49	2.95 (1.84–4.73)
>4	-0.15	-0.56	0.86 (0.51–1.45)
Amenities index	0.59	1.72	1.80(0.92–3.53)
Exposed to media	0.88	4.38	2.41(1.62–3.57)
Mistimed pregnancy	-0.64	-2.76	†
Interaction between APROFAM clinic visit and mistimed pregnancy	1.25	2.38	†
Impact of mistimed pregnancy plus clinic visit	na	na	1.84 (0.71–4.73)
Impact of mistimed pregnancy without clinic visit	na	na	0.53 (0.33–0.83)

†Odds ratio not presented because of the existence of an interaction. *Notes:* For the regression predicting use in 1992, goodness of fit $\chi^2=1.66$, $df=3$, $p=.65$. For the regression predicting use in 1996, goodness of fit $\chi^2=30.04$, $df=26$, $p=.27$.