TABLE 4. Odds ratios from multivariate logistic regression analysis assessing the association between use of modern contraceptive methods by currently married women and selected characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Odds ratio (N=27,615)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Socioeconomic status</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extremely poor (ref)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately poor</td>
<td>1.37***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better off</td>
<td>1.62***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;complete primary (ref)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥complete primary</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Residence</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban (ref)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>1.23***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15–34 (ref)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35–49</td>
<td>0.60***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>No. of living children</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤2 (ref)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3–4</td>
<td>2.20***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥5</td>
<td>1.41***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ideal no. of children</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonnumeric response (ref)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤2</td>
<td>2.03***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥3</td>
<td>1.39***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>District’s mean ideal no. of children</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above national median (ref)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below national median</td>
<td>1.45***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exposure to family planning messages in preceding 6 mos.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not exposed (ref)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposed to a single source</td>
<td>1.29***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exposed to multiple sources</td>
<td>1.46***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

***p<.001. †Not including the respondent. Note: ref=reference group.