

Table 3. Percentage distribution of contraceptive users aged 15–44, by characteristic, and odds ratios from logistic regression of the likelihood of current condom use, 1988 and 1995

Characteristic	1988		1995	
	% dist.	Odds ratio	% dist.	Odds ratio
Age at interview				
<18	2.9	11.203***	2.6	1.802*,†††
18–19	5.1	4.121***	4.1	1.425†††
20–24	16.0	1.702**	15.1	1.075
25–29	20.3	1.624**	17.4	1.095
30–34	21.3	1.296	21.2	1.099
35–39	19.3	1.172	21.5	1.272*
40–44 (ref)	15.1	1.000	18.2	1.000
Union status				
Currently married (ref)	64.4	1.000	62.0	1.000
Cohabiting	6.4	0.656	9.0	1.069
Formerly married	8.4	0.527**	8.5	1.548***,†††
Never-married	20.9	0.970	20.6	1.891***,†††
Race/ethnicity				
White non-Hispanic (ref)	76.6	1.000	73.3	1.000
Black non-Hispanic	12.1	0.863	12.9	1.397***,†††
Hispanic	8.2	0.890	10.1	1.006
Other	3.1	1.048	3.7	1.985***
Education				
<high school	16.2	0.714*	14.3	0.941
High school (ref)	36.7	1.000	38.3	1.000
Some college	27.3	1.408**	25.8	1.160
College graduate/higher	19.9	1.872***	21.5	1.465***
Religion				
None	6.5	1.475	12.3	1.122
Protestant (ref)	59.5	1.000	52.7	1.000
Catholic	30.3	1.283*	29.7	1.327**
Jewish/other	3.7	2.170***	5.4	1.908***
Income (as % of poverty level)				
0–99	12.0	0.925	12.4	0.711**
100–249	24.8	1.010	28.7	0.808*
250–449	33.2	0.985	30.8	0.920
≥450 (ref)	29.9	1.000	28.1	1.000
Preference for more children				
Wants more	50.8	1.090	40.4	2.888***,†††
Wants no more (ref)	49.2	1.000	59.6	1.000
No. of partners in last 3 months				
1 (ref)	95.5	1.000	90.6	1.000
≥2	3.3	1.388	5.4	1.756***
No response	1.2	0.512	4.0	1.173
Total	100.0	na	100.0	na

*Significantly different from reference category at $p < .05$. **Significantly different from reference category at $p < .01$. ***Significantly different from reference category at $p < .001$. †††Significantly different from 1988 at $p < .001$. Notes: Unweighted Ns are 4,973 for 1988 and 6,729 for 1995. ref=reference category. na=not applicable.