

TABLE 2. Odds ratios (and 95% confidence intervals) from logistic regression analysis of associations between selected client, health facility and staff characteristics and women's receipt of contraceptives

| Characteristic | Odds ratio |
|---|-------------------|
| CLIENTS | |
| Education | |
| No education (ref) | 1.0 |
| Primary | 1.09 (0.62–1.56) |
| ≥secondary | 1.77 (1.32–2.21)* |
| Parity | |
| 1–2 (ref) | 1.0 |
| 3–4 | 4.98 (4.45–5.50)* |
| ≥5 | 9.34 (8.77–9.90)* |
| PROVIDERS | |
| Mean yrs. of family planning experience | 0.96 (0.92–0.99)* |
| % with in-service family planning training | 0.82 (0.21–1.42) |
| % of female providers | 1.73 (0.87–2.58) |
| HEALTH FACILITIES | |
| Type | |
| Public (ref) | 1.0 |
| Nongovernmental organization | 1.82 (0.96–2.56) |
| Private | 1.13 (0.95–1.18) |
| Franchise network member | |
| No (ref) | 1.0 |
| Yes | 1.56 (0.97–1.83) |
| Separate family planning service area | |
| No (ref) | 1.0 |
| Yes | 1.39 (0.94–1.83) |
| Family planning educational materials on display | |
| No (ref) | 1.0 |
| Yes | 1.78 (1.25–2.30)* |
| No. of family planning methods offered | 1.04 (0.26–1.82) |
| % of offered methods available | 2.38 (1.73–3.02)* |
| No. of staff offering family planning services | 1.26 (1.24–1.27)* |
| No. of staff doctors | 1.21 (1.04–1.38)* |
| % of doctors among staff | 0.77 (0.37–1.17) |
| No. of Lady Health Workers | 0.77 (0.54–0.99)* |
| No. of family planning clients in prior month | 1.02 (1.01–1.03)* |

*p<.05. Notes: Client age was not included in the regression analysis because of high collinearity with parity. ref=reference group.