TABLE 3. Relative risk ratios (and 95% confidence intervals) from multinomial logistic regression analyses of characteristics associated with use of specific methods

Method and characteristic	Relative risk ratio
Condom vs. no method	
Received family planning advice	2.29** (1.56–3.36)
High-quality care in community	1.42 (0.89–2.26)
No. of prenatal visits (log)†	1.56** (1.13–2.15)
Year=2004	1.04 (0.79–1.38)
Pill vs. no method	
Received family planning advice	1.30 (0.76–2.22)
High-quality care in community	1.29 (0.85–1.97)
No. of prenatal visits (log)†	0.88 (0.57–1.36)
Year=2004	0.93 (0.60–1.46)
IUD vs. no method	
Received family planning advice	5.15** (3.26–8.13)
High-quality care in community	1.51** (1.09–2.09)
No. of prenatal visits (log)†	1.24 (0.91–1.68)
Year=2004	0.91 (0.69–1.21)
Injectable vs. no method	
Received family planning advice	1.67 (0.98–2.86)
High-quality care in community	0.65 (0.38–1.09)
No. of prenatal visits (log)†	1.75* (1.05–2.93)
Year=2004	1.17 (0.80–1.71)
Sterilization vs. no method	
Received family planning advice	1.42* (1.06–1.91)
High-quality care in community	1.64** (1.18–2.30)
No. of prenatal visits (log)†	1.50* (1.05–2.14)
Year=2004	0.93 (0.69–1.26)

*p≤.05. **p≤.01. †Continuous variable. *Note:* Analyses include the same control variables as in the previous regressions for delivery location, household socioeconomic, maternal and infant factors, and participation in social welfare programs.