

TABLE 2. Selected characteristics of diaphragm users, by satisfaction with the method, and odds ratios (and 95% confidence intervals) from logistic regression analysis assessing associations between users' characteristics and satisfaction

Characteristic	Diaphragm users				Odds ratio	
	Satisfied (N=169)		Not satisfied (N=46)		Unadjusted	Adjusted*
	%	Mean	%	Mean		
Age (yrs.)	na	36.4	na	35.1	1.2 (0.9–1.6)†	0.9 (0.6–1.4)†
Non-Hispanic white	89.3	na	95.6	na	0.4 (0.1–1.7)	na
Education						
<college	11.2	na	17.4	na	1.0 (ref)	na
Some college	25.4	na	23.9	na	1.6 (0.6–4.7)	na
College graduate	63.3	na	58.7	na	1.7 (0.7–4.2)	na
Employed outside home	70.4	na	71.7	na	0.9 (0.5–1.9)	na
Married	71.6	na	76.1	na	0.8 (0.4–1.7)	na
Has children	74.6	na	78.3	na	0.8 (0.4–1.8)	na
Motivation to avoid STDs‡	na	1.9	na	1.6	1.2 (0.8–1.8)†	na
Perceived risk of pregnancy‡	na	4.1	na	4.0	1.1 (0.8–1.5)†	na
Motivation to avoid pregnancy‡	na	4.5	na	4.3	1.2 (0.9–1.7)†	na
Diaphragm use self-efficacy‡	na	4.3	na	3.8	2.3 (1.6–3.3)†	1.8 (1.2–2.7)†
Perception of the diaphragm‡	na	3.6	na	3.2	5.1 (3.0–8.5)†	4.4 (2.6–7.5)†

*The following independent variables were used in the model: age, diaphragm use self-efficacy and perception of the diaphragm. †Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals are for an increase in one standard deviation (see Table 1). ‡For an explanation of scores, see Table 1. Notes: Participants were considered satisfied if they indicated being “somewhat” or “extremely” satisfied. na=not applicable. ref=reference category.