

TABLE 2. Odds ratios (and 95% confidence intervals) from multivariate analyses assessing associations between selected characteristics and reports of forced sexual intercourse at Wave 1

Characteristic	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
Age	1.5 (1.4–1.7)***	1.5 (1.3–1.6)***	1.4 (1.3–1.5)***
Race/ethnicity			
Black	1.3 (0.9–2.0)	1.6 (1.1–2.3)*	1.6 (1.1–2.4)*
Asian	0.3 (0.1–0.8)*	0.4 (0.2–1.0)*	0.3 (0.1–0.7)**
Hispanic	0.5 (0.3–0.8)*	0.5 (0.3–0.9)*	0.5 (0.3–1.0)*
Native American/ other	1.0 (0.6–1.9)	1.0 (0.6–1.9)	1.1 (0.6–2.1)
White (ref)	1.0	1.0	1.0
Highest parental educational level			
College graduate	0.5 (0.4–0.7)***	0.5 (0.4–0.7)***	0.6 (0.4–0.9)**
Some college	0.8 (0.6–1.1)	0.8 (0.6–1.1)	1.1 (0.8–1.6)
<high school (ref)	1.0	1.0	1.0
Had a permanent physical disability	1.7 (0.9–3.4)	1.6 (0.8–3.3)	1.8 (0.8–3.7)
Used alcohol in past year	na	2.2 (1.6–3.1)***	1.7 (1.2–2.5)**
Used marijuana in past 30 days	na	1.8 (1.3–2.4)***	1.5 (1.1–2.1)**
Used cocaine in past 30 days	na	1.3 (0.6–3.2)	0.8 (0.3–2.0)
Emotional distress† Maternal	na	1.2 (1.0–1.3)**	1.4 (1.3–1.6)***
connectedness†	na	na	1.1 (1.0–1.3)
Had a romantic relationship in past 18 mos.	na	na	2.1 (1.5–3.0)***
Was exposed to violence in past year	na	na	1.9 (1.4–2.7)***
<i>F(df)</i>	9(119)***	13(115)***	16(112)***

*p<.05. **p<.01. ***p<.001. †Standardized variable with a mean of zero and standard deviation of one; odds ratios reflect a change in odds per standard deviation. Notes: N=6,616. Each model also contains a continuous predictor indicating elapsed time between interviews (not shown). History of sexual intercourse at Wave 1 and noncoital behaviors within the romantic relationship perfectly predicted being forced into sex by Wave 1 (see Table 1); therefore, these variables were dropped from the analysis. Odds ratios of 1.0 are due to rounding down of estimates. ref=reference category. na=not applicable.