

TABLE 3. Adjusted odds ratios (and 95% confidence intervals) from logistic regression analyses assessing the associations between parental characteristics and parenting practice outcomes

Characteristic	Parental influence	Communication	Self-efficacy	Monitoring
Intervention group	2.15 (1.36–3.41)***	2.45 (1.53–3.92)***	1.94 (1.21–3.11)**	1.84 (0.91–3.72)†
Male youth	0.50 (0.32–0.79)**	0.85 (0.54–1.35)	0.54 (0.33–0.86)**	0.75 (0.37–1.50)
Age of youth	0.87 (0.59–1.28)	0.61 (0.41–0.92)*	0.90 (0.60–1.33)	0.72 (0.41–1.27)
Hispanic	1.41 (0.78–2.55)	0.56 (0.32–0.98)*	0.61 (0.35–1.06)†	0.99 (0.22–2.25)
Male parent	2.11 (0.84–5.31)	0.35 (0.17–0.69)**	0.87 (0.41–1.82)	3.32 (0.43–25.41)
Age of parent	1.04 (0.85–1.27)	0.83 (0.67–1.02)†	0.91 (0.74–1.12)	1.21 (0.89–1.64)
Baseline measure				
High communication	1.27 (0.71–2.25)	2.46 (1.44–4.20)***	3.42 (2.03–5.76)***	1.37 (0.56–3.33)
High self-efficacy	1.33 (0.80–2.20)	1.31 (0.79–2.16)	3.26 (2.00–5.31)***	0.90 (0.40–2.04)
High oversight	1.40 (0.84–2.35)	1.00 (0.57–1.75)	0.88 (0.50–1.53)	1.47 (0.68–3.18)

*p≤.05. **p≤.01. ***p≤.001. †p≤.10. Note: All measures are dichotomous, except for age of youth and age of parent, which are continuous.