

TABLE 3. Odds ratios from logistic regression analyses examining the association between demographic, socioeconomic and partnership characteristics and women's likelihood of having different patterns of contraceptive method use in the past year

Characteristic	Nonuse vs. any use (N=1,917)	At-risk gap vs. no at-risk gap (N=1,760)	Switching vs. continuous use† (N=1,482)
DEMOGRAPHIC			
Age			
18–24 (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00
25–34	1.03	1.10	0.83
35–44	3.25***	0.97	0.51***
Race/ethnicity			
White (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hispanic	1.18	1.21	1.09
Black	1.94*	1.06	1.13
Asian/other	1.94	0.99	0.78
Parity			
0 (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00
1	0.76	1.00	1.82***
≥2	0.87	0.76	1.23
SOCIOECONOMIC			
Education			
<H.S.	3.81***	1.94*	0.86
H.S./GED	1.98*	2.29***	1.11
Some college	1.99*	1.74**	1.02
≥college (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00
% of federal poverty level			
<100 (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00
100–249	0.59	1.52	0.75
≥250	0.93	1.27	0.94
Don't know/ refused	0.67	1.09	0.77
Insurance coverage			
Private (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00
Medicaid	1.18	2.01***	1.07
None/don't know	1.28	1.19	0.64*
SEXUAL PARTNERSHIP			
Marital status			
Married (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00
Cohabiting	1.09	1.56*	1.10
Formerly married‡	1.05	0.92	0.44**
Never-married‡	0.65	0.80	0.81
Duration of current relationship			
>4 yrs. (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00
2–4 yrs.	0.72	0.60*	1.60*
6–23 mos.	1.23	0.55*	2.84***
<6 mos.	1.83	1.08	3.37***
No relationship	2.38*	1.89*	7.23***
Frequency of intercourse in last 3 mos.			
≤once a month	1.97*	0.85	1.22
2–4 times a month	0.97	0.68*	0.82
≥2 times a week (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00
No. of sexual partners in last year			
1 (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00
≥2	0.34**	1.41	1.01
Believe current partner is monogamous			
Yes/no partner (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00
No	0.43	1.85**	1.06

*p<.05. **p<.01. ***p<.001. †For an explanation of the "switching" subgroup, see second footnote on page 93. ‡Not cohabiting. Notes: Regressions include all variables listed in Table 4. Ns are unweighted. ref=reference group.