

TABLE 4. Odds ratios from logistic regression analyses examining the association between attitudinal, method-related and provider-related characteristics and women's likelihood of having different patterns of contraceptive method use in the past year

Characteristic	Nonuse vs. any use	At-risk gap vs. no at-risk gap	Switching vs. continuous use†
ATTITUDES			
Importance of avoiding pregnancy			
Very important (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00
Somewhat important	1.25	1.50*	1.18
A little/not important	2.42***	1.97**	1.04
Reaction to becoming pregnant			
Upset/neutral (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00
A little pleased	1.61	0.91	0.96
Very pleased	2.42***	1.60*	0.72
Fatalistic attitude toward pregnancy and birth control			
Disagree/neutral (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00
Agree	2.09***	1.37*	1.13
METHOD-RELATED			
Type at start of year			
Hormonal/long-acting (ref)	na	1.00	1.00
Barrier/traditional	na	1.81***	0.84
None	na	2.92***	na
Satisfaction with method in past year			
Very satisfied (ref)	na	1.00	1.00
Somewhat satisfied	na	1.65**	1.97***
Neutral/dissatisfied	na	3.42***	6.81***
PROVIDER-RELATED			
Type of provider			
Private doctor (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00
Clinic	1.30	0.88	1.28
None/don't know	4.53***	0.54*	0.71
Provider satisfaction			
High (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00
Medium	0.94	0.93	0.94
Low	1.02	0.67	1.47
Usually see same clinician			
Yes/no provider (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00
No	0.89	0.97	0.93
Can call provider with questions			
Yes/no provider (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00
No	3.07**	2.84***	0.83
<i>R</i> ² (Nagelkerke)			
for full model	0.275	0.213	0.248

*p<.05. **p<.01. ***p<.001. †For an explanation of the "switching" subgroup, see second footnote on page 93. Notes: Regressions include all variables listed in Table 3. ref=reference group. na=not applicable.