

TABLE 4. Adjusted relative risk ratios (and 95% confidence intervals) from multinomial logistic regression analysis identifying associations between contraceptive method use and relationship quality variables

Variable	Nonawareness method vs.no method	Awareness method vs.no method
Commitment score		
Women	1.00 (0.97–1.04)	1.02 (0.98–1.07)
Men	1.08 (0.97–1.20)	1.06 (0.99–1.13)
Trust score		
Women	1.00 (0.96–1.04)	1.00 (0.93–1.08)
Men	1.05 (1.00–1.09)*	1.01 (0.92–1.10)
Constructive communication score		
Women	0.98 (0.95–1.00)	1.01 (0.96–1.06)
Men	1.08 (1.01–1.17)*	1.14 (1.07–1.22)***
Destructive communication score		
Women	1.05 (1.00–1.11)	1.05 (0.98–1.12)
Men	0.93 (0.81–1.06)	0.98 (0.89–1.08)
Satisfaction score		
Women	1.02 (0.97–1.07)	1.22 (1.10–1.34)***
Men	1.28 (0.83–1.98)	1.07 (0.77–1.49)

* $p \leq .05$. *** $p \leq .001$. Notes: Nonawareness methods include the injectable, pill, IUD, implants and diaphragm. Awareness methods include periodic abstinence, withdrawal, condoms and spermicides. Models adjusted for partner's relationship quality scores and all independent variables.