

TABLE 4. Odds ratios from models including individual positive relationship qualities in logistic regression analyses assessing the likelihood of consistent condom use in teenagers' current or most recent dating relationship

Characteristics	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
Positive relationship qualities‡				
Intimate self-disclosure	0.89*	na	na	na
Enmeshment	na	0.75*	na	na
Passionate love	na	na	0.89*	na
Relationship salience	na	na	na	0.67*
Relationship				
Ongoing relationship	2.00†	1.76	2.01†	1.97†
Duration	0.99*	0.99†	0.99*	0.99
Age heterogamy	1.18	1.13	1.28	1.31
Racial/ethnic heterogamy	1.36	1.42	1.38	1.42
School heterogamy	0.73	0.73	0.72	0.70
Sexual experience asymmetry	1.17	1.07	1.11	1.12
Respondent				
Age	1.00	0.97	0.98	0.96
Female	0.56†	0.53*	0.53*	0.58†
Race/ethnicity				
Hispanic	0.73	0.77	0.82	0.76
White (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Black	1.30	1.47	1.41	1.36
Other	0.35	0.34	0.35	0.31
Family structure				
Single parent	0.73	0.73	0.72	0.70
Two biological parents (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Stepfamily	0.51	0.49†	0.45†	0.54
Other	0.42†	0.49	0.39*	0.44†
Parental education				
<high school	0.70	0.73	0.65	0.67
High school (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
>high school, <four-year college degree	0.50*	0.48*	0.47*	0.48*
≥four-year college degree	0.40*	0.40*	0.39*	0.38*
Parental monitoring	0.99	0.98	0.98	0.98
Parental communication				
about sex	1.03	1.03	1.03	1.02
Self-esteem	0.96	0.95	0.96	0.95
Grades	1.06	1.07	1.06	1.05
Previously sexually inexperienced	1.26	1.30	1.32	1.32
Delinquency	0.95**	0.95**	0.95*	0.95**
<i>Intercept</i>	<i>3.41</i>	<i>6.83</i>	<i>5.73</i>	<i>11.76</i>

*p<.05. **p<.01. †p<.10. ‡All qualities are coded so that higher scores indicate more of that quality, be it positive or negative. Notes: na=not applicable. ref=reference group. Characteristics for which no reference group is shown were measured as continuous variables.