| Table 4. Percentage distribution of sterilized |
|--|
| women, by first contraceptive method used, |
| according to type of service delivery |
| |

| Method | Total | Hospital | Camp |
|----------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| | (N=817) | (N=445) | (N=372) |
| Female sterilization | 80.8 | 79.7 | 82.1 |

| | (N=817) | (N=445) | (N=372) |
|----------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Female sterilization | 80.8 | 79.7 | 82.1 |
| Pill | 6.9 | 7.6 | 6.1 |
| Injectables | 4.8 | 5.3 | 4.1 |

| Female sterilization | 80.8 | 79.7 | 82.1 |
|----------------------|------|------|------|
| Pill | 6.9 | 7.6 | 6.1 |
| Injectables | 4.8 | 5.3 | 4.1 |
| Condom | 2.9 | 2.0 | 4.1 |

| i omalo otomization | 00.0 | , 0., | 02.1 | |
|---------------------|------|-------|------|--|
| Pill | 6.9 | 7.6 | 6.1 | |
| Injectables | 4.8 | 5.3 | 4.1 | |
| Condom | 2.9 | 2.0 | 4.1 | |
| | | | | |

| Injectables | 4.8 | 5.3 | 4.1 |
|-------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Condom | 2.9 | 2.0 | 4.1 |
| Other | 4.6 | 5.4 | 3.6 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Notes: All modern spacing methods were coded as 0 and female sterilization as 1. The bivariate odds ratio was 1.16 (not significant).