

TABLE 4. Odds ratios (and 95% confidence intervals) from two logistic regression models of the determinants of whether women using methods other than the condom would know at their exit interview that their method does not protect against STIs

Characteristic	Unadjusted (N=2,241)	Adjusted† (N=2,231)
Observed being told by provider	2.63 (2.14–3.23)***	2.96 (2.39–3.66)***
Age		
13–19	na	0.77 (0.58–1.02)
20–29 (ref)	na	1.00
30–39	na	1.06 (0.77–1.46)
40–49	na	0.37 (0.20–0.69)**
Paid employee	na	1.21 (0.94–1.56)
Married	na	0.79 (0.50–1.24)
Wants no more children	na	1.28 (0.96–1.72)
Education		
None/primary (ref)	na	1.00
≥secondary	na	2.21*** (1.76–2.76)
–2 log likelihood	2,385.37	2,289.43

** $p < .01$. *** $p < .001$. †Adjusted for the effects of client characteristics. *Notes:* The N for the unadjusted analysis is reduced to 2,241 women, because providers were unable to ascertain method knowledge for 37 of the 2,278 users of methods other than the condom. The N for the adjusted analysis is reduced further to 2,231, because 10 women did not supply data on age. In this and the following tables, na=not applicable and ref=reference group.