TABLE 2. Odds ratios (and 95% confidence intervals) from logistic regression analyses assessing relationships between couples' consistent or occasional condom use and selected characteristics, as reported by wives

Characteristic	Weighted N	Unadjusted odds ratio	Adjusted odds ratio
Age			
<35 (ref)	139	1.00	1.00
≥35	99	0.65 (0.32–1.31)	0.99 (0.38–2.53)
Marital status			
Married (ref)	142	1.00	1.00
Cohabiting	96	2.35 (1.20–4.61)*	1.85 (0.74–4.62)
Place of residence			
Rural (ref)	162	1.00	1.00
Urban	76	3.17 (1.61–6.26)*	2.25 (0.87–5.79)
Wife's education			
<secondary (ref)	109	1.00	1.00
≥secondary	129	4.42 (1.96–9.94)*	2.24 (0.79–6.37)
Husband's education			
<secondary (ref)	90	1.00	1.00
≥secondary	148	3.81 (1.61–9.00)*	1.98 (0.63–6.16)
Wife's perceived HIV prevention self-efficacy			
Low (ref)	48	1.00	1.00
Medium	126	1.06 (0.41–2.76)	1.11 (0.34–3.58)
High	62	2.37 (0.88–6.35)	2.20 (0.62–7.83)
Wife perceives risk of HIV from partner			
Yes	135	4.17 (1.82–9.52)*	4.03 (1.53–10.56)*
No (ref)	102	1.00	1.00
Wife believes condoms are effective			
Low (ref)	44	1.00	1.00
High	194	5.53 (1.30–23.62)*	3.65 (0.75–17.82)
Husband's attitude toward condoms			
Positive	68	4.29 (1.81–10.17)*	1.68 (0.20–1.83)
Negative (ref)	84	1.00	1.00
Neutral	79	1.09 (0.42–2.87)	0.60 (0.59–4.78)
Wife's fertility intentions			
Wants soon	18	0.51 (0.14–1.84)	0.57 (0.10–3.36)
Wants later	53	0.24 (0.09–0.69)*	0.45 (0.09–2.24)
Wants no more	100	0.34 (0.15–0.73)*	1.49 (0.31–7.11)
Undecided (ref)	66	1.00	1.00

*p≤.05. *Notes:* "Wife" and "husband" refer to partners in either marital or cohabiting relationships. Weighted Ns may not total 238 because of missing data. ref=reference group.