TABLE 6. Odds ratios from logistic regression analysis assessing associations between selected characteristics and sexual coercion, contraceptive use and condom use

Characteristic	Sexual coercion		Contraceptive use		Condom use	
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6
Intervention						
No (ref)	1.00	na	1.00	na	1.00	na
Yes	0.34**	na	6.16***	na	13.28***	na
Treatment level						
None (ref)	na	1.00	na	1.00	na	1.00
Low	na	0.38*	na	5.27***	na	10.12***
High	na	0.30*	na	7.01***	na	15.76***
Age	0.80	0.80	1.12*	1.11*	0.95	0.95
Sex						
Female (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Male	3.49**	3.54**	0.77	0.78	0.89	0.89
Education						
junior high (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
senior high	0.57	0.57	1.14	1.15	1.25	1.25
School status						
In school	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Out of school	1.70	1.70	1.06	1.06	1.26	1.26
Family structure						
Both parents (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Single parent	0.52	0.52	0.67	0.68	1.38	1.36
Feeling toward family						
Very good (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Good	1.20	1.20	0.90	0.91	0.86	0.87
<good	1.44	1.43	0.94	0.94	0.67	0.67
Family economic status						
Poor (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Average/rich	0.88	0.87	1.67*	1.66*	3.66***	3.62***
Parents' discipline						
Strict (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
General/relaxed	1.39	1.40	1.25	1.24	1.39	1.37
Attitude toward premarital sex at baseline						
Disapprove (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Neutral	0.75	0.74	0.92	0.94	0.89	0.91
Approve	1.06	1.06	0.89	0.91	1.13	1.17
Dating at baseline						
No (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Yes	0.56	0.55	0.92	0.90	1.36	1.35

*p<.05. **p<.01. ***p<.001. *Notes:* ref=reference category. na=not applicable. Age is a continuous variable.