TABLE 4. Odds ratios (and 95\% confidence intervals) from logistic regression analyses assessing associations between consistent condom use and selected characteristics, by gender

| Characteristic | Male | Female | Characteristic | Male | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOCIAL AND DEMOGRAPHIC |  |  | KNOWLEDGE (continued) |  |  |
| Age |  |  | Condoms prev |  |  |
| 15-19 | 1.00 | 1.00 | Disagree | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 20-24 | 1.20 (0.89-1.61) | 0.87 (0.60-1.27) | Agree | 0.54 (0.35-0.84) | 0.65 (0.39-1.07) |
| Residence |  |  | Correct and consistent condom use reduces risk of HIV |  |  |
| Urban | 1.00 | 1.00 | Disagree | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Periurbant $\dagger$ | 1.32 (0.99-1.77) | 0.75 (0.53-1.07) | Agree | 1.33 (0.90-1.96) | 1.04 (0.68-1.57) |
| Yrs. of education |  |  | Condoms break easily |  |  |
| $\leq 5$ | 1.00 | 1.00 | Agree | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 6-7 | 1.40 (0.90-2.17) | 1.58 (0.95-2.61) | Disagree | 1.09 (0.82-1.45) | 1.23 (0.85-1.78) |
| 8-9 | 1.74 (1.13-2.69)* | 2.02 (1.23-3.31)** |  |  |  |
| $\geq 10$ | 1.54 (0.92-2.59) | $2.59(1.42-4.71)^{* *}$ | ATTITUDES AND |  |  |
|  |  |  | Condoms are safe |  |  |
| Marital status |  |  | Disagree | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Single | 1.00 | 1.00 | Agree | 1.60 (1.13-2.26)** | 1.42 (0.95-2.14) |
| Married or cohabiting $\dagger$ | 0.45 (0.28-0.73)** | 0.12 (0.06-0.25)** | Agre | 1.60(1.13-2.26) |  |
| Employment |  |  | Condom use equated with lack of trust |  |  |
|  |  |  | Disagree | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Student | 1.00 1.14(0.83-1.57) | 1.00 $1.53(0.97-2.42)$ | Agreet | 0.81 (0.60-1.11) | 0.46 (0.30-0.72)** |
| Employed | $1.14(0.83-1.57)$ 1.21 (0.77-1.89) | 1.53 (0.97-2.42) $0.92(0.58-1.47)$ |  |  |  |
| Unemployed | 1.21 (0.77-1.89) | 0.92 (0.58-1.47) | Condoms are difficult to use with new partner |  |  |
| SEXUAL BEHAVIOR |  |  | Disagree | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  |  | Agree | 0.88 (0.67-1.17) | 0.86 (0.61-1.20) |
| No | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 | Condoms diminish pleasure |  |
| Yes | 1.17 (0.90-1.51) | 0.95 (0.65-1.41) | Agree | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| No. of partners in last 3 mos. |  |  | Disagree | $1.84(1.42-2.40)^{* *}$ | 1.77 (1.27-2.47)** |
| 1 | 1.00 | 1.00 | Embarrassed when buying condoms |  |  |
| $\geq 2$ | 1.66 (1.27-2.17)** | 1.14 (0.63-2.08) |  |  |  |
| Visited commercial sex worker in last 12 mos. |  |  | Agr | 0.75 (0.45-1.26) | 0.80 (0.49-1.28) |
| No | 1.00 | na | ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY |  |  |
| Yes | 1.55 (0.95-2.51) | na |  |  |  |  |  |
| KNOWLEDGE |  |  | Agree | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Condoms prevent pregnancy |  |  | Disagree 1.16 (0.83-1.63) |  | 1.48 (0.97-2.27) |
| Disagree | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Agree | 0.97 (0.66-1.43) | 1.40 (0.89-2.20) | Condoms are affordable |  |  |
|  |  |  | Disagree | 1.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  |  | Agree | 1.30 (0.97-1.74) | 1.24 (0.87-1.77) |
|  |  |  | -2 log likelihood | 1415.8 | 934.6 |

${ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<.05 .{ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<.01$. †ORs for males and females are significantly different at $\mathrm{p}<.05$. Note: na=not applicable.

