ratios (and 95% confidence intervals) for the polyurethane and latex condoms, by duration of use reported Type of failure and % polyurethane % latex Risk ratio

Table 4. Percentage of selected types of condom failures, and risk

duration of use			
FIRST FIVE USES Clinical	8.5	1.6	5.2 (3.4–8.3)
Breakage	4.0	0.4	9.4 (4.3–28.7)

Combined slippage 45 12 3.7(2.2-6.7)Clippogo during intercourse

Slippage during intercour		1.1	3.1 (1.8–6.1)
Total*	9.8	2.3	4.3 (3.0-6.4)
Breakage	4.3	0.6	6.8 (3.5–15.9)
Slippage	4.5	1.2	3.7 (2.2–6.7)
Other failure†	1.0	0.4	2.5 (0.9–9.2)

Total*	9.8	2.3	4.3 (3.0-6.4)
Breakage	4.3	0.6	6.8 (3.5-15.9)
Slippage	4.5	1.2	3.7 (2.2-6.7)
Other failure†	1.0	0.4	2.5 (0.9–9.2)

SIX MONTHS Clinical	3.6	0.9	4.3 (3.6–5.1)
Other failure†	4.5 1.0	0.4	3.7 (2.2–6.7) 2.5 (0.9–9.2)

2.0 0.3 5.8 (4.5-7.5) 17 0.5 3.3(2.6-4.1)

Breakage Slippage

*Clinical failures plus nonclinical failures. †Condoms not used for intercourse because they

could not be unrolled or donned, did not fit, were insufficiently lubricated or were defective.

Notes: The overall Ns for the six-month diary data were 17,799 uses of polyurethane condoms

and 20.325 uses of latex condoms. The individual Ns from the diaries for the polyurethane condom were 352 breaks and 297 slip-offs, and for the latex condom, 69 breaks and 104 slipoffs. The 95% confidence intervals calculated from the first five use reports are exact confi-

dence intervals, while those calculated from the six-month diaries are asymptotic.