centage distribution of those who switched to specific destination methods, by origin method Destination method Origin method %

TABLE 4. Percentage distribution of unmarried women, by origin method, and per-

		methods				reversible		
Implant/								
injectable/IUD	3.7	3.3	2.6	0.8	1.2	8.0	4.7	
Dual methods	7.7	9.5	na	26.2	4.8	1.6	1.1	

Long-term† Dual

†Sterilization and long-term reversible methods. *Note*: na=not applicable.

Total

Injectable/IUD	3./	3.3	2.6	0.8	1.2	0.8	4./
Dual methods	7.7	9.5	na	26.2	4.8	1.6	1.1
Pill	23.3	28.7	44.8	na	19.5	17.8	30.9
Condom	29.6	16.7	35.2	37.5	na	51.6	49.0

FIII	23.3	20.7	44.0	Ha	19.5	17.0	30.5
Condom	29.6	16.7	35.2	37.5	na	51.6	49.0
Other reversible	12.8	8.4	2.4	14.8	23.6	na	14.3

Condom	29.0	10.7	33.2	37.3	Ha	31.0	49.0
Other reversible	12.8	8.4	2.4	14.8	23.6	na	14.3
None	22.9	33.5	149	20.6	50.9	28.2	na

Other reversible	12.8	8.4	2.4	14.8	23.6	na	14.3
None	22.9	33.5	14.9	20.6	50.9	28.2	na

Other reversible	12.8	8.4	2.4	14.8	23.6	na	14.3
None	22.9	33.5	14.9	20.6	50.9	28.2	na

Otherreversible	12.0	0.7	2.7	17.0	23.0	Ha	17.5
None	22.9	33.5	14.9	20.6	50.9	28.2	na

22.9	33.5	14.9	20.6	50.9	28.2	na
100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Pill

Condom

Other

None