Characteristic Optimal Optimal Optimal Informed Was rating of rating about pressured rating of structure client-staff of patientdifferent to adopt and facility interaction centeredness contraa method =1,727)

TABLE 5. Odds ratios from logistic regression analyses assessing the association

between selected characteristics and service quality ratings

		at last visit (N=1,655)		ceptives at last visit (N=1,001)	(N=1
Age					
18–19	0.58	0.85	1.05	1.59	2.27
20-24	0.93	0.77	0.81	1.75	2.17
25-29	1.04	1.25	0.75	1.67	1.62
30-34 (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Age						
18–19	0.58	0.85	1.05	1.59	2.27	
20-24	0.93	0.77	0.81	1.75	2.17†	
25-29	1.04	1.25	0.75	1.67	1.62	
30-34 (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Marital status						
Married (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	

Age						
18–19	0.58	0.85	1.05	1.59	2.27	
20-24	0.93	0.77	0.81	1.75	2.17†	
25-29	1.04	1.25	0.75	1.67	1.62	
30-34 (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Marital status						
Married (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Cohabiting	0.90	0.71	0.82	1.05	2.35*	

23 23	1.0-	1.23	0.75	1.07	1.02
30-34 (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Marital status					
Married (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Cohabiting	0.90	0.71	0.82	1.05	2.35*
Formerly married	1.51	0.61	1.16	0.95	1.39
Never-married	0.70	0.07	0.05	1 1 1	1.07

Married (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Cohabiting	0.90	0.71	0.82	1.05	2.35*	
Formerly married	1.51	0.61	1.16	0.95	1.39	
Never-married	0.70	0.97	0.95	1.11	1.07	
Education <high diploma="" ged<="" high="" school="" th=""><th>0.69 1.21</th><th>0.99 1.08</th><th>0.85 0.65*</th><th>0.81 1.25</th><th>0.99 0.91</th><th></th></high>	0.69 1.21	0.99 1.08	0.85 0.65*	0.81 1.25	0.99 0.91	
≥college (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	

<high school<="" th=""><th>0.69</th><th>0.99</th><th>0.85</th><th>0.81</th><th>0.99</th><th></th></high>	0.69	0.99	0.85	0.81	0.99	
High school diploma/GED	1.21	1.08	0.65*	1.25	0.91	
≥college (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Parity						
0 (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
1–2	0.66†	1.20	1.30	1.01	0.82	
≥3	0.86	1.27	0.91	4.85**	3.58**	
Medical insurance in the	past 12 mos					
Private (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	na	
Medicaid/other public	0.94	0.81	0.83	1.76	na	
N	1 1 6	0.00	0.04	1 1 2		

≥college (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Parity						
0 (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
1–2	0.66†	1.20	1.30	1.01	0.82	
≥3	0.86	1.27	0.91	4.85**	3.58**	
Medical insurance in the	past 12 mos	•				
Private (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	na	
Medicaid/other public	0.94	0.81	0.83	1.76	na	
No coverage	1.16	0.88	0.84	1.13	na	
Purpose of visit						
Contraceptive care	0.89	0.86	0.90	1.00	na	

Medicaid/other public	0.94	0.81	0.83	1.76	na	
No coverage	1.16	0.88	0.84	1.13	na	
Purpose of visit						
Contraceptive care	0.89	0.86	0.90	1.00	na	
Routine gynecologic care (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	na	
Pregnancy-related care	0.63	1.15	0.95	3.17*	na	
Other	0.79	0.96	0.90	0.91	na	
Multiple reasons	0.84	1.07	0.69	1.18	na	
Site where care was received	d					
Private doctor's office (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	na	

Site where care was receive	ed				
Private doctor's office (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	na
НМО	0.71	0.61	0.73	1.44	na
Hospital clinic	0.55†	0.61†	0.72	0.71	na
Health department clinic	0.36**	0.66	0.94	2.94**	na
Planned Parenthood/family					
planning clinic	0.74	1.11	1.09	1.45	na
Other clinic	0.61	0.70	0.57	0.39†	na

1103pital cililic	0.551	0.011	0.7 2	0.7 1	Hu
Health department clinic	0.36**	0.66	0.94	2.94**	na
Planned Parenthood/family					
planning clinic	0.74	1.11	1.09	1.45	na
Other clinic	0.61	0.70	0.57	0.39†	na
Visit was to some alone who	aliana a		haalth sava		
Visit was to same place whe	ere client go	ets generai	neaith care		
Yes	1.46†	0.94	0.97	0.66	na
No (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	na

Cliii					
No (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	na
Yes	1.46†	0.94	0.97	0.66	na
Visit was to same place	where client	gets genera	al health care	2	
Other clinic	0.61	0.70	0.57	0.39†	na
planning clinic	0.74	1.11	1.09	1.45	na
i lattica i arctititoda/tatt	III y				

()						
Clinician continuity						
Client had not visited						
site before	0.86	0.75	1.04	0.57	na	
Client had seen the						
clinician before (ref)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	na	

0.57*

0.49†

0.92

1.00

1.33

1.00

*p<.05. **p<.01. ***p<.001. †p<.10. Notes: ref=reference group. na=not applicable. Odds ratios are weighted; sample sizes are unweighted. All models were adjusted for race, ethnicity and language spoken; results for

0.40***

0.99

1.40

1.08

0.72

1.00

1.27

1.00

0.69

0.97

0.82

1.24

1.00

1.01

1.00

na

na

na

na

na

na

na

0.87

0.71

0.95

0.47*

1.00

Client perceived herself as race-concordant with clinician 1.03

Client had not seen the clinician before

No/did not know (ref)

Clinician was client's preferred gender

these characteristics are shown in Table 4 (page 207).

Client had no preference (ref) 1.00

Missing

Yes

No